

Instituttstyremøte 5/2022

DATO: 09.09.22 kl. 9:15 - 12

STED: NJORD møterom Kelvin V316 i Fysikkbyggets vestfløy

Deltakere:	Representerer	Kommentar
Bernd Etzelmüller Terje Koren Berntsen Hege Hisdal, hhi@nve.no	Instituttleder Nestleder Ekstern representant	
Joseph LaCasce Anita Torabi Karianne Staalesen Lilleøren Thomas Vikhamar Schuler Ingrid Anell Lars Riber	Vitenskapelig ansatt Vitenskapelig ansatt, Vitenskapelig ansatt Vitenskapelig ansatt, vararepresentant Vitenskapelig ansatt, Vitenskapelig ansatt, vararepresentant	forskningstermin h. 22 Stiller for Torabi/Anell
Juditha Aga Anniqve van der Boon	Midl. vitenskapelig ansatt Midl. vitenskapelig ansatt, vararepresentant Midl. vitenskapelig ansatt, vararepresentant	
Kjetil Bakke Ann-Christin Jäger Thor Axel Thorsen	Teknisk/administrativ ansatt Teknisk/administrativ ansatt, vararepresentant Teknisk/administrativ ansatt, vararepresentant	
Ida Helene Magnor Hansen Søren Blåberg Tvingsholm Pia Alette Borgen Pedersen Lisa Julianne Nystad	Student Student Student, vararepresentant Student leder MNSU og vararep.	observatør
Trine-Lise K. Gørbitz	Kontorsjef, referent	
Helge Hellevang	Instituttverneombud	
Thor A Thorsen	Tilstede ved sak 23	
*de som er merket med lys grønt er valgte representanter		



Instituttstyremøte 5/2022

Dato: Fredag 24. november 2022

STED: Toppsenteret, Forskningsparken

13.30 – 14.00 Lett bevertning

14.00 – 15.30 Styremøte

Agenda styremøtet

V-Sak 2021/29 Godkjenning av innkalling og sakliste

V-Sak 2021/30 Godkjenning av referat styremøte 4/2022

V-Sak 2021/31 Tertialrapport

V-Sak 2021/32 Nytt styremedlem for 2023 for de midlertidig ansatte

D-Sak 2021/33 Stillinger

D-Sak 2022/34

O-Sak 2021/35 Orienteringssaker

- a. Rapport fra Utdanningsleder
- b. Nyansatte
- c. Instituttleders redegjørelser

Eventuelt

Sak 2022/21 Godkjenning av innkalling og saksliste

<i>Sakstype:</i>	Vedtaks sak
<i>Saksnr:</i>	2022/21
<i>Møtedato:</i>	09.09.22
<i>Saksbehandler:</i>	Bernd Etzelmüller

Forslag til vedtak:

Instituttstyret godkjenner innkalling og saksliste

Sak 2022/22 Godkjenning av referat fra styremøte 3/2022

<i>Sakstype:</i>	Vedtaks sak
<i>Saksnr:</i>	2022/22
<i>Møtedato:</i>	09.09.22
<i>Saksbehandler:</i>	Bernd Etzelmüller

Forslag til vedtak:

Instituttstyret godkjenner referatet

Sak 2022/23 Presentasjon av forskningsinfrastruktur og laboratorier

<i>Sakstype:</i>	Orienteringssak
<i>Saksnr:</i>	2022/23
<i>Møtedato:</i>	09.09.22
<i>Saksbehandler:</i>	Thor A. Thorsen

Forslag til vedtak:

<i>Instituttstyret tar orienteringen til etterretning</i>

Sak 2022/24 Tertialrapport for økonomi

<i>Sakstype:</i>	Orienteringssak
<i>Saksnr:</i>	2022/24
<i>Møtedato:</i>	09.09.22
<i>Saksbehandler:</i>	Trine-Lise K. Gørbitz

Forslag til vedtak:

Instituttstyret tar rapporten til etterretning

Sak 2022/25 Geofag-evalueringen: Godkjenning av ToR (Terms of References)

<i>Sakstype:</i>	Vedtaks sak, se dokument som vedlegg til innkallingen
<i>Saksnr:</i>	2022/25
<i>Møtedato:</i>	09.09.22
<i>Saksbehandler:</i>	Bernd Etzelmüller

«Terms of References (ToR)» må godkjennes i instituttets styremøte, med informasjon til fakultetet. Dokumentet sendes NFR 31 september

Videre saksgang

En selv-evaluering kommer til høsten (se sak 2022/25).

Den endelige sluttrapporten forventes høsten 2023.

Forslag til vedtak:

Instituttstyret godkjenner «Terms of References» slike det foreligger

Sak 2022/26 Geofag-evalueringen: Orientering om selv-evalueringen

<i>Sakstype:</i>	Orienteringssak
<i>Saksnr:</i>	2022/26
<i>Møtedato:</i>	09.09.22
<i>Saksbehandler:</i>	Bernd Etzelmüller

Forslag til vedtak:

<i>Instituttstyret tar orienteringen til etterretning</i>

Sak 2022/27 Stillingsplan for institutt og MN-fakultet

<i>Sakstype:</i>	<i>Orienteringssak</i>
<i>Saksnr:</i>	<i>2022/27</i>
<i>Møtedato:</i>	<i>09.09.22</i>
<i>Saksbehandler:</i>	<i>Bernd Etzelmüller</i>

Forslag til vedtak:

<i>Instituttstyret tok sakene til etterretning</i>
--

Sak 2022/28 Andre orienteringssaker

<i>Sakstype:</i>	<i>Orienteringssak</i>
<i>Saksnr:</i>	<i>2022/28</i>
<i>Møtedato:</i>	<i>09.09.22</i>
<i>Saksbehandler:</i>	<i>Etzelmüller, Lilleøren, Gørbitz</i>

a) Orientering om seksjons-struktur og SFF avgjørelsen

b) Studiestart, studenttall, ansatte etc (K. S. Lilleøren)

c) Nyansatte siden forrige styremøte (T-L Gørbitz)

Forslag til vedtak:

Instituttstyret tok sakene til etterretning

Vedlegg:

Terms of References (ToR)

The board of Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo (UiO), mandates the evaluation committee appointed by the Research Council of Norway (RCN) to assess the Department of Geosciences based on the following Terms of Reference.

Assessment

You are asked to assess the organisation, quality and diversity of research conducted by the Department of Geosciences as well as its relevance to institutional and sectoral purposes, and to society at large. You should do so by judging the unit's performance based on the following five assessment criteria (a. to e.). Be sure to take current international trends and developments in science and society into account in your analysis.

- a) Strategy, resources and organisation
- b) Research production, quality and integrity
- c) Diversity and equality
- d) Relevance to institutional and sectoral purposes
- e) Relevance to society

For a description of these criteria, see Chapter 2 of the EVALNAT evaluation protocol. Please provide a written assessment for each of the five criteria. Please also provide recommendations for improvement. We ask you to pay special attention to the following four aspects in your assessment:

1. Societal and scientific challenges

The Department of Geosciences has a strategy document. Chapter 2 of the strategy is entitled "Geoscience for the future", as a response to changing boundary conditions in economy and society, with respect to global climate change, and the change in energy resources, needs and availability. The committee will evaluate the strategy, how it might be used to assess our internationally leading research groups and how their results / output are relevant to society and scientific progress.

2. Large-scale funding

The Department of Geosciences is highly dependent on external funding from national and international research agencies and industry. In the past ten years, most of our large external funding has come from RCN-SFF, EU-ERC, EU pillar 2 and the Norwegian petroleum industry. This funding has helped the Department to become internationally recognised and ranked on a high level relative to comparable European institutions. In future funding calls, we anticipate an even stronger focus toward societal challenges and innovation. The committee will evaluate the Department's strategy to adapt to the new funding landscape both in Norway and internationally/EU, and to maintain its status of an internationally leading research environment.

3. Sustainability and cross-disciplinarity

The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, UiO, strongly supports research contributing to the UN sustainability goals, and the inter-disciplinary research this brings with it. The committee will evaluate the Department's status and potential to address future global challenges and its strategy to develop cross-disciplinary research, among others through assessing the contribution to cross-disciplinary centres such as CBA, Njord, SUCCESS, and NCCS including their spinoff initiatives, and other internal / external strategic research incentives.

4. Future geoscientists

The Department has experienced changes to student recruitment, with less applicants wanting to follow the petroleum sector and more applicants interested in topics related to global environmental challenges such as CO2 storage, climate, environmental science, geohazards, and water/ice. Over the past 10 years the Department of Geosciences has put in place several changes to its curriculum to reflect this transition. The committee will evaluate these changes and make recommendation as to how the Department's teaching activities can be best prepared for future developments.

In addition, the Department of Geosciences seeks a qualitative assessment as a whole in relation to its strategic targets. The committee will assess the strategy that the Department of Geosciences, UiO, intends to pursue in the years ahead and the extent to which it will be capable of meeting its targets for research and society, based on available resources and competence. Finally, the committee is asked to comment on the topics of research integrity and diversity as defined in section 2 of the EVALNAT protocol.

Documentation

The necessary documentation will be made available by the EVALNAT secretariat at Technopolis Group. The documents will include the following:

- a report on research personnel and publications within geosciences commissioned by RCN
- a self-assessment based on a template provided by the EVALNAT secretariat
- the strategy document of the Department of Geosciences

Interviews with representatives from the evaluated units

Interviews with the Department of Geosciences will be organised by the evaluation secretariat. Such interviews can be organised as a site visit, in another specified location in Norway or as a video conference.

Statement on impartiality and confidence

The assessment should be carried out in accordance with the *Regulations on Impartiality and Confidence in the Research Council of Norway*. A statement on the impartiality of the committee members has been recorded by the RCN as a part of the appointment process. The impartiality and confidence of committee and panel members should be confirmed when evaluation data from the Department of Geosciences are made available to the committee and the panels, and before any assessments are made based on these data. The RCN should be notified if questions concerning impartiality and confidence are raised by committee members during the evaluation process.

Assessment report

We ask you to report your findings in an assessment report drawn up in accordance with a format specified by the EVALNAT secretariat. The committee may suggest adjustments to this format at its first meeting. A draft report should be sent to the Department of Geosciences and RCN by [date]. The Department of Geosciences should be allowed to check the report for factual inaccuracies; if such inaccuracies are found, they should be reported to the EVALNAT secretariat no later than two weeks after receipt of the draft report. After the committee has made the amendments judged necessary, a corrected version of the assessment report should be sent to the board of the Department of Geosciences and the RCN no later than two weeks after all feedback on inaccuracies has been received from the Department of Geosciences.

For the Department of Geosciences, UiO

Bernd Etzelmüller
Head of Departmen