# Photochemical degradation of bisphenols in aqueous solution: Degradation kinetics and identification of transformation products

<u>CELINE GYS<sup>1</sup></u>, ANA KOVAČIČ<sup>2</sup>, TINA KOSJEK<sup>2</sup>, ADRIAN COVACI<sup>1</sup>, ESTER HEATH<sup>2</sup> Contact: celine.gys@uantwerpen.be









## Setup of the experiment





Identification of transformation products



**Bisphenol A** (BPA) = high production volume industrial chemical

In production of polycarbonate, epoxy resins





HO

CH<sub>3</sub>

OH

H<sub>3</sub>C

#### As developer in thermal paper



Endocrine disruptive compound

BPA analogues – **bisphenols** (BPs):

- Structural similarity
- Detected in humans and environment
- Potentially similar toxic effects

Study occurrence and fate of BPs in the environment





Removal through photochemical methods



Bisphenol F BPF



Bisphenol S BPS







## Setup of the experiment





Identification of transformation products



# Setup of the experiment

**Photochemical degradation** 

- **BPF/BPS/BPZ in aqueous solution** MilliQ water
- Monochromatic UV light λ<sub>max</sub> = 254 nm by 6 watt low pressure Hg lamp
- Three treatments:
  - UV light only
  - 2. Cyclodextrin UV with added ß-cyclodextrin (ß-CD)
  - 3. Photo-Fenton

UV with added Fenton's reagent  $H_2O_2 + FeSO_4 + H_2SO_4$ 









## Setup of the experiment





Identification of transformation products



### Experiment

- Initial concentration = 200 ng/L
- 350 mL sample in duplicate at t = 0 min → 2 h
- Labeled internal standards added <sup>13</sup>C<sub>12</sub>-BPF, <sup>13</sup>C<sub>12</sub>-BPS and <sup>13</sup>C<sub>12</sub>-BPB

### **Objectives**

- What is the kinetic profile of the degradation of the BPs?
- What is the degradation efficiency of the three treatments?



### Sample preparation

- SPE with Oasis HLB cartridges
- Derivatisation with BSTFA

#### Analysis

- GC-EI-MS
- Column: Agilent DB 5-MS 30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 μm
- SIM method



Compound	m/z	RT (min)
	344	9 6 1
DPF-11VIS	329	0.01
	356	9 6 1
<sup>10</sup> C <sub>12</sub> -DPF-11VIS	341	0.01
	394	12.20
DP3-11VIS	379	15.20
13C DDS TMS	406	12 20
C <sub>12</sub> -DP5-11VI5	391	15.20
RD7 TMC	412	12.40
	397	12.49
	383	0 02
<sup>10</sup> C <sub>12</sub> -DFD-11VIS	398	9.85

#### **Results**



**Pseudo** 



#### Results

- > What is the degradation efficiency of the three treatments?
  - Shortest half-lives for all three BPs with Photo-Fenton reaction
     → enhanced degradation due to generation of reactive OH•

•	BPF	treatment	UV	ß-CD	PF	
		t <sub>1/2</sub> (min)	139	116	<u>16</u>	
•	. RDS	treatment	UV	ß-CD	PF	
	t <sub>1/2</sub> (min)	33	27	<u>17</u>		
		treatment	UV	ß-CD	PF	
• врг	t <sub>1/2</sub> (min)	41	87	<u>22</u>		





## Setup of the experiment





Identification of transformation products



#### **Experiments**

- Initial concentration = 5 mg/L
- 1 mL sample in triplicate at same time intervals as kinetic experiment
- Labeled internal standards added <sup>13</sup>C<sub>12</sub>-BPF, <sup>13</sup>C<sub>12</sub>-BPS and <sup>13</sup>C<sub>12</sub>-BPB

### Objective

What are the major transformation products (TPs)?



#### Analysis

- LC-ESI-QTOFMS
- Column: Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C18 3.0 x 50mm 2.7μm
- Mobile phase: A = 100 % MilliQ water, B = 100 % methanol
- ESI +/-
- Auto-MS/MS (data dependent acquisition)
   CE = 10 and 20 V
- 2. Targeted MS/MS reinjection CE = 10 and 20 V Target list m/z

Time (min)	% B
0.00	10
1.00	10
5.00	50
10.00	85
11.00	95
15.00	95
15.10	10
20.00	10





#### Workflow data analysis

- 1. Auto-MS/MS  $\rightarrow$  Molecular Feature Extraction
- 2. List of entities  $\rightarrow$  filter out possible false positives





- 3. Heatmap of molecular masses of possible transformation products
- 4. Identification

Comparison with suspect list based on literature

5. Target list  $m/z \rightarrow$  reinjection targeted MS/MS

Confidence level of identification Schymanski E. et al. – Environ. Sci. Technol. 2014, 48 (4): 2097-2098



#### Results

What are the major transformation products?

Accurate mass (Da)	m/z	RT (min)	Formula	Diff (ppm)	Score	Treatment	Literature	Confidence level
230.0570	229.0497	4.512	$C_{13}H_{10}O_4$	6.50	86.37	PF		L3
216.0794	215.0721	5.266	$C_{13}H_{12}O_{3}$	7.59	91.93	UV/CD/PF	<b>v</b>	L3
216.0786	215.0714	6.255	$C_{13}H_{12}O_{3}$	7.73	89.16	UV/CD/PF	<b>v</b>	L3
214.0650	213.0535	6.034	$C_{13}H_{10}O_{3}$	8.09	81.47	PF	<b>v</b>	L3
139.0295	138.0200	5.147	-	-	-	UV/CD		L5
122.0368	121.0294	4.556	$C_7H_6O_2$	5.33	95.53	PF	<b>v</b>	L3

- Hydroxylation and cleavage products
- New and previously detected products



BPF

M = 200.0837 Da

#### **Results**

What are the major transformation products?

### M = 216.0786 Da



- RT ~ 5.2 min and RT ~ 6.2 min •
- $C_{13}H_{12}O_3 \rightarrow positional isomers$

**BPF** 

#### Results

What are the major transformation products?

Accurate mass (Da)	m/z	RT (min)	Formula	Diff (ppm)	Score	Treatment	Literature	Confidence level
266.0247	265.0176	4.674	$C_{12}H_{10}O_5S$	4.57	88.39	UV/CD/PF		L3
218.0222	217.0147	4.518	-	-	-	CD		L5
173.9973	172.9907	0.647	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> O <sub>4</sub> S	4.98	94.56	UV/CD	~	L2b
124.0142	123.0070	0.763	$C_6H_4O_3$	14.44	81.82	CD		L4

- Hydroxylation and cleavage products
- New and previously detected products



M = 250.0300 Da

BPS

#### Results

What are the major transformation products?

M = 173.9986 Da



• ESI (-)

M = 250.0300 Da

**BPS** 

#### Results

### What are the major transformation products?

### M = 173.9986 Da



- Same product ions at CE 20 V
- Same fragmentation pattern in other samples
- Also detected by Wang X. et al. Water Sci. Technol. 2014, 70.3: 540-547

M = 250.0300 Da



**BPS** 

#### Results

What are the major transformation products?

Accurate mass (Da)	m/z	RT (min)	Formula	Diff (ppm)	Score	Treatment	Literature	Confidence level
156.0788	155.0716	3.672	-	-	-	UV/CD		L5
192.0416	191.0344	1.580	$C_{10}H_8O_4$	3.35	97.25	CD		L3
192.0410	191.0337	2.345	$C_{10}H_8O_4$	6.76	95.41	PF		L4
284.1418	283.1351	5.571	$C_{18}H_{20}O_{3}$	2.25	85.16	PF	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	L3
284.1421	283.1358	6.511	$C_{18}H_{20}O_{3}$	3.13	83.88	PF	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	L3
284.1424	283.1350	8.757	$C_{18}H_{20}O_{3}$	8.19	79.42	PF	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	L3
284.1418	283.1341	9.222	$C_{18}H_{20}O_{3}$	2.01	89.10	PF	V	L3
304.1703	303.1634	7.577	$C_{18}H_{24}O_{4}$	3.65	86.54	UV/CD		L4

- Hydroxylation and cleavage products
- New and previously detected products

BPZ

M = 268.1463 Da

#### Results



M = 156.0781 Da

• ESI (-)

#### M = 192.0422 Da

- ESI (-)
- C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub>



- ESI (-)
- C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub>











L3

L5





## Setup of the experiment





Identification of transformation products



# Conclusions

### **Degradation kinetics**

- Kinetic profile: pseudo first order
- Degradation efficiency: shortest t<sub>1/2</sub> for PF

### Identification of transformation products

- Hydroxylation and cleavage products detected
- Identification at different levels of confidence
   L5 L2b
- New and previously detected products



#### To do

- Targeted MS/MS
- Confirmation of identification
- Proposal degradation pathway

## Acknowledgements



Prof. Dr. Adrian Covaci Colleagues at the Toxicological Center







Prof. Dr. Ester Heath Ana Kovačič Dr. Tina Kosjek

