16.09.22. Oslo

Course evaluation KJM 5320, Spring 2022

Course responsible: Nikolina Sekulić

Teachers:

Nikolina Sekulić, PhD	nikolina.sekulic@ncmm.uio.no
Gabriele Cordara, PhD (Krengel group)	gabriele.cordara@kjemi.uio.no
Hans-Petter Hersleth, PhD	h.p.hersleth@ibv.uio.no
Dario Segura Peña, PhD (Sekulić group)	dario.segura-pena@ncmm.uio.no
Mark Tully, PhD	mark.tully@esrf.fr
Finn Aachmann, PhD	finn.l.aachmann@ntnu.no
Gaston Courtades, PhD	gaston.courtade@ntnu.no
Eva Kummer, PhD	eva.kummer@cpr.ku.dk
Ahmad Ali-Ahmad, PhD (Sekulić group)	a.a.ahmad@ncmm.uio.no
Thomas Boesen, PhD	thb@inano.au.dk

Changes:

The course was running as usual in a condensed 1-week format Mon-Thr 9:00-18:00 and Friday was mini-symposium 9:00-15:30 in Forskningsparken followed by group activity - boat cruise until 18:00. Lectures were held in Kristine Bonnevies hus, Pollen 3203 (classroom) and Hox 3205 (computer room). Different lecturers and speakers for mini-symposium are invited every time the course is run but the overall organization is the same.

On-the-go evaluations:

The students were generally happy with the course, although some have found it difficult to follow due to lack of previous knowledge.

What feedback did the students give in the mid-term evaluations?

Not relevant, but for the final evaluation please check students' answers to BioCat questionnaire (enclosed).

Were any changes made because of the feedback?

No

Summary meeting:

I briefly discussed with Ute Krengel, and we agreed that current form of the course is satisfactory.

Exam results:

All students have passed the exam. One student re-submitted an exam within a week to improve the quality. This was done after I consulted with the course sensor.

Do you have comments on the exam results?

No.

Do you plan to make any changes next time the topic goes?

I will include an expert on molecular modelling.

Nikolina Sekulić

The course facilitator has an in-depth knowledge of the course subject.

Answered: 9 Skipped: 0



The course facilitator was helpful and available.

Answered: 9 Skipped: 0



The course facilitator treated students with respect.

Answered: 9 Skipped: 0



Overall, I would recommend the course facilitator to others.

Answered: 9 Skipped: 0



The course workload and requirements were appropriate for the course level.

Answered: 9 Skipped: 0



The instructional materials and assignments increased my knowledge and skills in the subject matter.

Answered: 9 Skipped: 0



The exam accurately assessed what I learned in this course, and was a good examination format for the material.

Answered: 9 Skipped: 0



Overall, I would recommend this course to others.

Answered: 9 Skipped: 0



Do you have specific recommendations for improving this course?

Answered: 9 Skipped: 0



As far as the comments go, I will copy and paste them here for now:

Q6:
With the specific assignment questions, I have gained information that was before overlooked while reading the literature.

Q7:
Even I would recommend the questions to other budding structural biologists about what to look for their own protein of interest.

Q9:
The guest lecturers ge *(this must have been cut off)*

We have learned a lot from both theoretical and recorded experimental analysis but it would have been highly influential if we would have done our own hands-on experiment and th

I would have been happy to have at least a small section with examples of how to apply some of these techniques on non-protein molecules, e.g. on polysaccharides. But the cours