

Almost commuting matrices, cohomology, and dimension

Joint work with Dominic Enders

March 30, 2021

Questions on almost commuting matrices

Informally speaking: A, B are matrices, $[A, B]$ is small. Are there any exactly commuting matrices near A, B ?

Questions on almost commuting matrices

Informally speaking: A, B are matrices, $[A, B]$ is small. Are there any exactly commuting matrices near A, B ?

$\| \cdot \|$ is the operator norm, that is $\|A\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Ax\|$.

Halmos's questions

Halmos 1976:

Halmos's questions

Halmos 1976:

1) *Given two sequences of self-adjoint matrices $A_n, B_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying*

$$\|A_n\| \leq 1, \|B_n\| \leq 1, \|[A_n, B_n]\| \rightarrow 0$$

Halmos's questions

Halmos 1976:

1) *Given two sequences of self-adjoint matrices $A_n, B_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying*

$$\|A_n\| \leq 1, \|B_n\| \leq 1, \|[A_n, B_n]\| \rightarrow 0$$

do there always exist sequences $A'_n, B'_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ of self-adjoint matrices such that

$$\|A'_n - A_n\| \rightarrow 0, \|B'_n - B_n\| \rightarrow 0, [A'_n, B'_n] = 0?$$

Halmos's questions

Halmos 1976:

1) *Given two sequences of self-adjoint matrices $A_n, B_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying*

$$\|A_n\| \leq 1, \|B_n\| \leq 1, \|[A_n, B_n]\| \rightarrow 0$$

do there always exist sequences $A'_n, B'_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ of self-adjoint matrices such that

$$\|A'_n - A_n\| \rightarrow 0, \|B'_n - B_n\| \rightarrow 0, [A'_n, B'_n] = 0?$$

2) *The same question for unitaries*

Halmos's questions

Halmos 1976:

1) *Given two sequences of self-adjoint matrices $A_n, B_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying*

$$\|A_n\| \leq 1, \|B_n\| \leq 1, \|[A_n, B_n]\| \rightarrow 0$$

do there always exist sequences $A'_n, B'_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ of self-adjoint matrices such that

$$\|A'_n - A_n\| \rightarrow 0, \|B'_n - B_n\| \rightarrow 0, [A'_n, B'_n] = 0?$$

2) *The same question for unitaries*

(Sizes of matrices can grow!)

Halmos's questions

Halmos 1976:

1) Given two sequences of self-adjoint matrices $A_n, B_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying

$$\|A_n\| \leq 1, \|B_n\| \leq 1, \|[A_n, B_n]\| \rightarrow 0$$

do there always exist sequences $A'_n, B'_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ of self-adjoint matrices such that

$$\|A'_n - A_n\| \rightarrow 0, \|B'_n - B_n\| \rightarrow 0, [A'_n, B'_n] = 0?$$

2) The same question for unitaries

NO. Voiculescu, 1983

Voiculescu's matrices

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $\omega_n = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$ and define $S_n, \Omega_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by

Voiculescu's matrices

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $\omega_n = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$ and define $S_n, \Omega_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$S_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_n = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_n^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \omega_n^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Voiculescu's matrices

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $\omega_n = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$ and define $S_n, \Omega_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$S_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_n = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_n^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \omega_n^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\|[S_n, \Omega_n]\| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Voiculescu's matrices

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $\omega_n = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$ and define $S_n, \Omega_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$S_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_n = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_n^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \omega_n^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\|[S_n, \Omega_n]\| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Voiculescu: S_n, Ω_n are not close to any commuting pairs of unitaries.

Voiculescu's matrices

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $\omega_n = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$ and define $S_n, \Omega_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$S_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_n = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_n^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \omega_n^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\|[S_n, \Omega_n]\| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Voiculescu: S_n, Ω_n are not close to any commuting pairs of unitaries.

Exel and Loring: S_n, Ω_n are not close to any commuting pairs.

Halmos 1976:

1) Given two sequences of self-adjoint matrices $A_n, B_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying

$$\|A_n\| \leq 1, \|B_n\| \leq 1, \|[A_n, B_n]\| \rightarrow 0$$

do there always exist sequences $A'_n, B'_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ of self-adjoint matrices such that

$$\|A'_n - A_n\| \rightarrow 0, \|B'_n - B_n\| \rightarrow 0, [A'_n, B'_n] = 0?$$

2) The same question for unitaries **NO. Voiculescu, 1983**

Halmos 1976:

1) Given two sequences of self-adjoint matrices $A_n, B_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying

$$\|A_n\| \leq 1, \|B_n\| \leq 1, \|[A_n, B_n]\| \rightarrow 0$$

do there always exist sequences $A'_n, B'_n \in M_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ of self-adjoint matrices such that

$$\|A'_n - A_n\| \rightarrow 0, \|B'_n - B_n\| \rightarrow 0, [A'_n, B'_n] = 0?$$

YES. Lin, 1995

2) The same question for unitaries NO. Voiculescu, 1983

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

$$A = A^*, \|A\| \leq 1,$$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

$$A = A^*, \|A\| \leq 1, UU^* = U^*U = 1,$$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

$$A = A^*, \|A\| \leq 1, UU^* = U^*U = 1, AU \approx UA.$$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

$$p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, \dots, p^{(N)},$$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

$$p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, \dots, p^{(N)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\},$$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

$$p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, \dots, p^{(N)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \quad (p^{(i)})^2 = p^{(i)} = (p^{(i)})^*$$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

$$p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, \dots, p^{(N)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, \quad (p^{(i)})^2 = p^{(i)} = (p^{(i)})^*$$

$$p^{(i)} p^{(j)} \approx p^{(j)} p^{(i)}.$$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

$$A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(5)},$$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

$$A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(5)}, \left(A^{(i)}\right)^* = A^{(i)}, \|A^{(i)}\| \leq 1,$$

$$A_i A_j \approx A_j A_i.$$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

$$A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(5)}, \left(A^{(i)}\right)^* = A^{(i)}, \|A^{(i)}\| \leq 1,$$

$$A_i A_j \approx A_j A_i,$$

$$p(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(5)}) \approx 0.$$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

NC $*$ -polynomials $p_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$, are given.

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

NC $*$ -polynomials p_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$, are given.

Question: Is it true that any normal contractive matrices $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(N)}$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$, such that

$$[A^{(i)}, A^{(j)}] \approx 0$$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

NC $*$ -polynomials p_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$, are given.

Question: Is it true that any normal contractive matrices $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(N)}$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$, such that

$$[A^{(i)}, A^{(j)}] \approx 0$$

and

$$p_j \left(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(N)} \right) \approx 0,$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots$,

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

NC $*$ -polynomials p_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$, are given.

Question: Is it true that any normal contractive matrices $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(N)}$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$, such that

$$[A^{(i)}, A^{(j)}] \approx 0$$

and

$$p_j \left(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(N)} \right) \approx 0,$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots$, must be close to exactly commuting normal contractive matrices exactly satisfying the same polynomial relations?

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

NC $*$ -polynomials p_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$, are given.

Question: Is it true that any normal contractive matrices $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(N)}$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$, such that

$$[A^{(i)}, A^{(j)}] \approx 0$$

and

$$p_j \left(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(N)} \right) \approx 0,$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots$, must be close to exactly commuting normal contractive matrices exactly satisfying the same polynomial relations?



$C(X)$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

NC $*$ -polynomials p_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$, are given.

Question: Is it true that any normal contractive matrices $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(N)}$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$, such that

$$[A^{(i)}, A^{(j)}] \approx 0$$

and

$$p_j \left(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(N)} \right) \approx 0,$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots$, must be close to exactly commuting normal matrices exactly satisfying the same polynomial relations?

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

NC $*$ -polynomials p_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$, are given.

Question: Is it true that any normal contractive matrices $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(N)}$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$, such that

$$[A^{(i)}, A^{(j)}] \approx 0$$

and

$$p_j \left(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(N)} \right) \approx 0,$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots$, must be close to exactly commuting normal matrices exactly satisfying the same polynomial relations?

Namely, consider

$$C^* \langle x_1, \dots, x_N \mid [x_i^*, x_i] = [x_i, x_j] = 0, p_j(x_1, x_2, \dots) = 0 \rangle$$

Questions on almost commuting matrices: general setting

NC $*$ -polynomials p_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$, are given.

Question: Is it true that any normal contractive matrices $A^{(1)}, A^{(2)}, \dots, A^{(N)}$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$, such that

$$[A^{(i)}, A^{(j)}] \approx 0$$

and

$$p_j \left(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(N)} \right) \approx 0,$$

$j = 1, 2, \dots$, must be close to exactly commuting normal matrices exactly satisfying the same polynomial relations?

Namely, consider

$$C^* \langle x_1, \dots, x_N \mid [x_i^*, x_i] = [x_i, x_j] = 0, p_j(x_1, x_2, \dots) = 0 \rangle \cong C(X)$$

C^* -algebraic reformulation

C^* -algebraic reformulation

$$\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid T_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \sup_n \|T_n\| < \infty\}$$

C^* -algebraic reformulation

$$\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid T_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \sup_n \|T_n\| < \infty\}$$

("noncommutative analogue" of l^∞)

C^* -algebraic reformulation

$$\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid T_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \sup_n \|T_n\| < \infty\}$$

("noncommutative analogue" of l^∞)

$$\bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\| = 0\}.$$

$$\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid T_n \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \sup_n \|T_n\| < \infty\}$$

("noncommutative analogue" of l^∞)

$$\bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mid \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\| = 0\}.$$

("noncommutative analogue" of c_0).

C^* -algebraic reformulation

$$\begin{array}{c} \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow \\ \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

C^* -algebraic reformulation

$$\begin{array}{c} \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow \\ \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) & \\ & \downarrow & \\ C(X) \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) & \end{array}$$

Definition A C*-algebra A is *matricially stable* if each *-homomorphism from A to $\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$ lifts:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

Definition A C^* -algebra A is *matricially stable* if each $*$ -homomorphism from A to $\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$ lifts:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) & \\ & \downarrow & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

The diagram shows a commutative square. The top node is $\prod M_n(\mathbb{C})$. The bottom node is $\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$. A solid arrow points from A to the bottom node. A dashed arrow points from A to the top node. A solid arrow points from the top node to the bottom node.

Reformulation of questions on almost commuting matrices:

For which X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Definition A C^* -algebra A is *matricially stable* if each $*$ -homomorphism from A to $\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$ lifts:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) & \\ & \downarrow & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

The diagram shows a commutative square. The top node is $\prod M_n(\mathbb{C})$. The bottom node is $\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C})$. A solid arrow points from A to the bottom node. A dashed arrow points from A to the top node. A solid arrow points from the top node to the bottom node.

Reformulation of questions on almost commuting matrices:

For which compact metric space X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Space X	Is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Space X	Is $C(X)$ matricially stable?
\mathbb{T}^2	No (Voiculescu 83, a short proof by Exel and Loring 89)

State of the art

Space X	Is $C(X)$ matricially stable?
\mathbb{T}^2	No (Voiculescu 83, a short proof by Exel and Loring 89)
$[0, 1]^2$	Yes (Lin 95, a short proof by Friis and Rørdam 96)

State of the art

Space X	Is $C(X)$ matricially stable?
\mathbb{T}^2	No (Voiculescu 83, a short proof by Exel and Loring 89)
$[0, 1]^2$	Yes (Lin 95, a short proof by Friis and Rørdam 96)
$[0, 1]^3$	No (Voiculescu 81, Davidson 85)

Space X	Is $C(X)$ matricially stable?
\mathbb{T}^2	No (Voiculescu 83, a short proof by Exel and Loring 89)
$[0, 1]^2$	Yes (Lin 95, a short proof by Friis and Rørdam 96)
$[0, 1]^3$	No (Voiculescu 81, Davidson 85)
S^2	No (Voiculescu 81, Loring 88)

Space X	Is $C(X)$ matricially stable?
\mathbb{T}^2	No (Voiculescu 83, a short proof by Exel and Loring 89)
$[0, 1]^2$	Yes (Lin 95, a short proof by Friis and Rørdam 96)
$[0, 1]^3$	No (Voiculescu 81, Davidson 85)
S^2	No (Voiculescu 81, Loring 88)
$\mathbb{R}P^2$	Yes (Eilers, Loring, Pedersen 98)

Space X	Is $C(X)$ matricially stable?
\mathbb{T}^2	No (Voiculescu 83, a short proof by Exel and Loring 89)
$[0, 1]^2$	Yes (Lin 95, a short proof by Friis and Rørdam 96)
$[0, 1]^3$	No (Voiculescu 81, Davidson 85)
S^2	No (Voiculescu 81, Loring 88)
$\mathbb{R}P^2$	Yes (Eilers, Loring, Pedersen 98)
1-dimensional CW-complexes	Yes (Loring 89)

Matricial stability of $C(X)$

Question: For which compact metric space X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Matricial stability of $C(X)$

Question: For which compact metric space X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Guess:

Matricial stability of $C(X)$

Question: For which compact metric space X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Guess: iff $\dim X \leq 2$ and

Matricial stability of $C(X)$

Question: For which compact metric space X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Guess: iff $\dim X \leq 2$ and ?

$K_0(A)$

Work of Eilers, Loring, Pedersen

$$K_0(A) \quad [p] - [q]$$

Work of Eilers, Loring, Pedersen

$$K_0(A) \quad [p] - [q]$$

$$K_0(A)_+$$

Work of Eilers, Loring, Pedersen

$$K_0(A) \quad [p] - [q]$$

$$K_0(A)_+ \quad [p]$$

Work of Eilers, Loring, Pedersen

$$K_0(A) \quad [p] - [q]$$

$$K_0(A)_+ \quad [p]$$

Pre-order on $K_0(A)$: $x \geq y$ if $x - y \in K_0(A)_+$

$$K_0(A) \quad [p] - [q]$$

$$K_0(A)_+ \quad [p]$$

Pre-order on $K_0(A)$: $x \geq y$ if $x - y \in K_0(A)_+$

Definition $x \in K_0(A)$ is an *infinitesimal* if

$$-[1_A] \leq nx \leq [1_A],$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Work of Eilers, Loring, Pedersen

$$K_0(A) \quad [p] - [q]$$

$$K_0(A)_+ \quad [p]$$

Pre-order on $K_0(A)$: $x \geq y$ if $x - y \in K_0(A)_+$

Definition $x \in K_0(A)$ is an *infinitesimal* if

$$-[1_A] \leq nx \leq [1_A],$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

E.g. in $K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ there are no infinitesimals.

Work of Eilers, Loring, Pedersen

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ C(X) & \longrightarrow & \prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

Work of Eilers, Loring, Pedersen

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C})) \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed arrow} & \downarrow \\ K_0(C(X)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C})) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C})) \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ K_0(C(X)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C})) \end{array}$$

Observation: A liftable homomorphism has to kill infinitesimals.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C})) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ K_0(C(X)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C})) \end{array}$$

Observation: A liftable homomorphism has to kill infinitesimals.

Theorem (Eilers-Loring-Pedersen '98)

If X is a 2-dimensional CW-complex, then this is the only obstruction!

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C})) \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ K_0(C(X)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C})) \end{array}$$

Observation: A liftable homomorphism has to kill infinitesimals.

Theorem (Eilers-Loring-Pedersen '98)

If X is a 2-dimensional CW-complex, then this is the only obstruction!

Fact (Loring): $K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ has no torsion.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C})) \\ & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \\ K_0(C(X)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C})) \end{array}$$

Observation: A liftable homomorphism has to kill infinitesimals.

Theorem (Eilers-Loring-Pedersen '98)

If X is a 2-dimensional CW-complex, then this is the only obstruction!

Fact (Loring): $K_0(\prod M_n(\mathbb{C}) / \bigoplus M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ has no torsion.

All infinitesimals in $K_0(C(X))$ are torsion \Rightarrow

all infinitesimals are killed $\Rightarrow C(X)$ is matricially stable

Corollary (Eilers-Loring-Pedersen '89)

Let X be a 2-dimensional CW-complex. If all infinitesimals in $K_0(C(X))$ are torsion, then $C(X)$ is matricially stable.

Corollary (Eilers-Loring-Pedersen '89)

Let X be a 2-dimensional CW-complex. If all infinitesimals in $K_0(C(X))$ are torsion, then $C(X)$ is matricially stable.

Open question: Is the inverse true?

Corollary (Eilers-Loring-Pedersen '89)

Let X be a 2-dimensional CW-complex. If all infinitesimals in $K_0(C(X))$ are torsion, then $C(X)$ is matricially stable.

Open question: Is the inverse true?

Chern character:

$$K_0(C(X)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \dots$$

From K-theory to cohomology

Corollary (Eilers-Loring-Pedersen '89)

Let X be a 2-dimensional CW-complex. If all infinitesimals in $K_0(C(X))$ are torsion, then $C(X)$ is matricially stable.

Open question: Is the inverse true?

Chern character:

$$K_0(C(X)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^4(X, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \dots$$

Proposition

Let X be a 2-dimensional CW-complex. If $H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$, then $C(X)$ is matricially stable.

Matricial stability of $C(X)$

Question: For which X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Matricial stability of $C(X)$

Question: For which X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Guess: iff $\dim X \leq 2$ and $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

Matricial stability of $C(X)$

Question: For which X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Guess: iff $\dim X \leq 2$ and $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

2 things to prove:

1) For X of $\dim \leq 2$, $C(X)$ is matricially stable $\Leftrightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$,

Matricial stability of $C(X)$

Question: For which X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Guess: iff $\dim X \leq 2$ and $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

2 things to prove:

1) For X of $\dim \leq 2$, $C(X)$ is matricially stable $\Leftrightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$,

2) $\dim X \geq 3 \Rightarrow C(X)$ is not matricially stable.

Matricial stability of $C(X)$

Question: For which X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Guess: iff $\dim X \leq 2$ and $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

2 things to prove:

1) For X of $\dim \leq 2$, $C(X)$ is matricially stable $\Leftrightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$,

2) $\dim X \geq 3 \Rightarrow C(X)$ is not matricially stable.

Theorem

For X of $\dim \leq 2$, $C(X)$ is matricially stable if and only if $H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

Strategy for proving that $\dim X$ cannot be ≥ 3

Strategy for proving that $\dim X$ cannot be ≥ 3

Lemma

If $C(X)$ is matricially stable and $Y \subseteq X$ is a closed subset, then $C(Y)$ is matricially stable.

Strategy for proving that $\dim X$ cannot be ≥ 3

Lemma

If $C(X)$ is matricially stable and $Y \subseteq X$ is a closed subset, then $C(Y)$ is matricially stable.

Idea: for X with $\dim X \geq 3$ try to embed into X something non-matricially stable.

Strategy for proving that $\dim X$ cannot be ≥ 3

Lemma

If $C(X)$ is matricially stable and $Y \subseteq X$ is a closed subset, then $C(Y)$ is matricially stable.

Idea: for X with $\dim X \geq 3$ try to embed into X something non-matricially stable.

(if X is a CW-complex, just embed S^2)

Strategy for proving that $\dim X$ cannot be ≥ 3

Lemma

If $C(X)$ is matricially stable and $Y \subseteq X$ is a closed subset, then $C(Y)$ is matricially stable.

Idea: for X with $\dim X \geq 3$ try to embed into X something non-matricially stable.

Idea: for X with $\dim X \geq 3$ try to embed into X some Y with $\dim Y = 2$, $H^2(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$.

Strategy for proving that $\dim X$ cannot be ≥ 3

Lemma

If $C(X)$ is matricially stable and $Y \subseteq X$ is a closed subset, then $C(Y)$ is matricially stable.

Idea: for X with $\dim X \geq 3$ try to embed into X something non-matricially stable.

Idea: for X with $\dim X \geq 3$ try to embed into X some Y with $\dim Y = 2$, $H^2(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$.

Theorem

Suppose $n < \dim X < \infty$. Then there exists a closed subset A of X such that $\dim A = n$ and $H^n(A, \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$.

Strategy for proving that $\dim X$ cannot be ≥ 3

Lemma

If $C(X)$ is matricially stable and $Y \subseteq X$ is a closed subset, then $C(Y)$ is matricially stable.

Idea: for X with $\dim X \geq 3$ try to embed into X something non-matricially stable.

Idea: for X with $\dim X \geq 3$ try to embed into X some Y with $\dim Y = 2$, $H^2(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$.

Theorem

Suppose $n < \dim X < \infty$. Then there exists a closed subset A of X such that $\dim A = n$ and $H^n(A, \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$.

Why the assumption $\dim X < \infty$?

Matricial stability of $C(X)$

Question: For which compact metric X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Matricial stability of $C(X)$

Question: For which compact metric X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Main theorem

Suppose $\dim X < \infty$. Then $C(X)$ is matricially stable if and only if $\dim(X) \leq 2$ and $H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

Matricial stability of $C(X)$

Question: For which compact metric X is $C(X)$ matricially stable?

Main theorem

Suppose $\dim X < \infty$. Then $C(X)$ is matricially stable if and only if $\dim(X) \leq 2$ and $H^2(X; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

(In terms of generators and relations, this means that we solve the questions for *finite* families of matrices (almost) satisfying possibly infinitely many relations)

Some applications

1) **Lifting normals from the Calkin algebra**

Some applications

1) **Lifting normals from the Calkin algebra**

Given a normal element in $B(H)/K(H)$, BDF-theory answers when it lifts to a normal operator.

Some applications

1) Lifting normals from the Calkin algebra

Given a normal element in $B(H)/K(H)$, BDF-theory answers when it lifts to a normal operator.

Question (B. Blackadar, private communication): *For which compact subsets $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ does the following hold: Every normal element of the Calkin algebra with spectrum contained in X lifts to a normal operator in $B(H)$?*

Some applications

1) Lifting normals from the Calkin algebra

Given a normal element in $B(H)/K(H)$, BDF-theory answers when it lifts to a normal operator.

Question (B. Blackadar, private communication): *For which compact subsets $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ does the following hold: Every normal element of the Calkin algebra with spectrum contained in X lifts to a normal operator in $B(H)$?*

Theorem

Let X be a compact subset of the plane. The following are equivalent:

Some applications

1) Lifting normals from the Calkin algebra

Given a normal element in $B(H)/K(H)$, BDF-theory answers when it lifts to a normal operator.

Question (B. Blackadar, private communication): *For which compact subsets $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ does the following hold: Every normal element of the Calkin algebra with spectrum contained in X lifts to a normal operator in $B(H)$?*

Theorem

Let X be a compact subset of the plane. The following are equivalent:

(i) Any normal element of the Calkin algebra with spectrum contained in X lifts to a normal operator;

Some applications

1) Lifting normals from the Calkin algebra

Given a normal element in $B(H)/K(H)$, BDF-theory answers when it lifts to a normal operator.

Question (B. Blackadar, private communication): *For which compact subsets $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ does the following hold: Every normal element of the Calkin algebra with spectrum contained in X lifts to a normal operator in $B(H)$?*

Theorem

Let X be a compact subset of the plane. The following are equivalent:

- (i) Any normal element of the Calkin algebra with spectrum contained in X lifts to a normal operator;
- (ii) $\dim X \leq 1$ and $H^1(X) = 0$.

Some applications

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- 1) Any pointwise limit of liftable $*$ -homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to $Q(H)$ is liftable itself;
- 2) $C(X)$ has the following lifting property:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & B(H) \\ & & & \nearrow & \downarrow \pi \\ C(X) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \prod M_{d_n} / \oplus M_{d_n} & \xrightarrow{j} & Q(H) \end{array}$$

2) Lifting homomorphisms from the Calkin algebra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & B(H) \\ & \nearrow \text{---} & \downarrow \\ C(X) & \longrightarrow & B(H)/K(H) \end{array}$$

2) Lifting homomorphisms from the Calkin algebra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & B(H) \\ & \nearrow \text{---} & \downarrow \\ C(X) & \longrightarrow & B(H)/K(H) \end{array}$$

For what X are all $$ -homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to the Calkin algebra liftable?*

2) Lifting homomorphisms from the Calkin algebra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & B(H) \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ C(X) & \longrightarrow & B(H)/K(H) \end{array}$$

For what X are all $*$ -homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to the Calkin algebra liftable?

BDF-theory deals with (lifting of) **injective** $*$ -homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to the Calkin algebra.

Theorem

Let X be a compact metric space and $\dim X \leq 1$. The following are equivalent:

Theorem

Let X be a compact metric space and $\dim X \leq 1$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) All $*$ -homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to $B(H)/K(H)$ are liftable;

Theorem

Let X be a compact metric space and $\dim X \leq 1$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) All $*$ -homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to $B(H)/K(H)$ are liftable;
- (2) $\text{Hom}(H^1(X), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Theorem

Let X be a compact metric space and $\dim X \leq 1$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) All $*$ -homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to $B(H)/K(H)$ are liftable;
- (2) $\text{Hom}(H^1(X), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Conjecture: The following are equivalent:

- (1) All $*$ -homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to $B(H)/K(H)$ are liftable;
- (2) $\dim X \leq 1$ and $\text{Hom}(H^1(X), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Theorem

Let X be a compact metric space and $\dim X \leq 1$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) All $*$ -homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to $B(H)/K(H)$ are liftable;
- (2) $\text{Hom}(H^1(X), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Conjecture: The following are equivalent:

- (1) All $*$ -homomorphisms from $C(X)$ to $B(H)/K(H)$ are liftable;
- (2) $\dim X \leq 1$ and $\text{Hom}(H^1(X), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Missing ingredient (Question): Does $\infty > \dim X > n$ imply that there exists a closed subset $Y \subseteq X$ with $\dim Y = n$ and $\text{Hom}(H^n(Y), \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$?

3) Blackadar's I -closedness

Definition (Blackadar) A C^* -algebra A is I -closed (I -open) if for any C^* -algebra B and any ideal I in B , the set of liftable $*$ -homomorphisms from A to B/I is closed (open) w.r.t. the topology of pointwise convergence in the set $\text{Hom}(A, B/I)$.

3) Blackadar's I -closedness

Definition (Blackadar) A C^* -algebra A is I -closed (I -open) if for any C^* -algebra B and any ideal I in B , the set of liftable $*$ -homomorphisms from A to B/I is closed (open) w.r.t. the topology of pointwise convergence in the set $\text{Hom}(A, B/I)$.

B. Blackadar: "It seems reasonable that if X is any absolute neighborhood retract, then $C(X)$ is I -closed".

3) Blackadar's I -closedness

Definition (Blackadar) A C^* -algebra A is I -closed (I -open) if for any C^* -algebra B and any ideal I in B , the set of liftable $*$ -homomorphisms from A to B/I is closed (open) w.r.t. the topology of pointwise convergence in the set $\text{Hom}(A, B/I)$.

B. Blackadar: "It seems reasonable that if X is any absolute neighborhood retract, then $C(X)$ is I -closed".

Theorem

Let X be a CW-complex. If $C(X)$ is I -closed, then $\dim X \leq 3$.

4) Matricial stability for CW-complexes

4) Matricial stability for CW-complexes

Corollary (Eilers-Loring-Pedersen '89)

Let X be a 2-dimensional CW-complex. If all infinitesimals in $K_0(C(X))$ are torsion, then $C(X)$ is matricially stable.

4) Matricial stability for CW-complexes

Corollary (Eilers-Loring-Pedersen '89)

Let X be a 2-dimensional CW-complex. If all infinitesimals in $K_0(C(X))$ are torsion, then $C(X)$ is matricially stable.

Open question: Is the inverse true?

4) Matricial stability for CW-complexes

Corollary (Eilers-Loring-Pedersen '89)

Let X be a 2-dimensional CW-complex. If all infinitesimals in $K_0(C(X))$ are torsion, then $C(X)$ is matricially stable.

Open question: Is the inverse true? Yes

Thank you!