

FIC for copula under two-stage maximum likelihood

Vinnie Ko (PhD candidate)

Supervisors: Nils Lid Hjort & Ingrid Hobæk Haff

BBB, Universitetet i Oslo

23 May 2017

What is Copula?

We all know how the transformation of random variable is done.

Example: bivariate joint density:

$$f_{x,y}(x, y) \rightarrow f_{u,v}(u, v)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u &= g_1(x) & \text{and} & & x &= g_1^{-1}(u) \\ v &= g_2(y) & & & y &= g_2^{-1}(v) \end{aligned}$$

This can be done by:

$$f_{u,v}(u, v) = f_{x,y}(g_1^{-1}(u), g_2^{-1}(v)) |J(u, v)|$$

The cdf $F_{u,v}(u, v)$ can be obtained by:

$$F_{u,v}(u, v) = \int_{-\infty}^v \int_{-\infty}^u f_{u',v'}(u', v') du' dv'$$

What is Copula?

Consider the case when

$$u = g_1(x) = F_x(x)$$

$$v = g_2(y) = F_y(y)$$

This lead us to the following transformation:

$$F_{x,y}(x, y) \rightarrow F_{F_x, F_y}(F_x(x), F_y(y))$$

Yes, right. We transformed (x, y) with its own marginal distributions $F_x(x)$ and $F_y(y)$. This $F_{F_x, F_y}(F_x(x), F_y(y))$ has a special name **copula** and denoted as **C**.

N.B.: The transformation $F_{x,y}(x, y) \rightarrow F_{F_x, F_y}(F_x(x), F_y(y))$ has a special name:
Probability integral transform (PIT)

What is Copula?

So, we have:

$$F_{1,\dots,d}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = C_{1,\dots,d}(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d))$$

According to the Sklar's theorem [5], there always exists a function C that satisfies this. When $F_{1,\dots,d}(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is continuous, $C_{1,\dots,d}$ is unique.

Furthermore, When $F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d)$ are absolutely continuous and strictly increasing, C can be differentiated:

$$f_{1,\dots,d}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = c_{1,\dots,d}(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d)) \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(x_i)$$

where

$$c_{1,\dots,d} = \frac{\partial^d C_{1,\dots,d}}{\partial F_1(x_1), \dots, \partial F_d(x_d)} \quad \text{and} \quad f(x_i) = \frac{\partial F_i(x_i)}{\partial x_i}$$

$T(\cdot)$ = focus parameter (e.g. mean, $P(a < y < b)$)

G = true distribution function

\hat{F} = distribution function from our estimated model

Idea: Not measure the model, but measure the specific performance of the model.

How? Minimize risk!

$$R(T(G), T(\hat{F})) = E_G \left[(T(G) - T(\hat{F}))^2 \right] = \text{mse}(T(\hat{F})) = \text{Var}(T(\hat{F})) + \text{bias} \left(T(\hat{F}), T(G) \right)^2$$

$$\text{Focused information criterion: FIC} = \widehat{\text{mse}}(T(\hat{F})) = \widehat{\text{Var}}(T(\hat{F})) + \widehat{\text{bias}}^2 \left(T(\hat{F}), T(G) \right)$$

FIC (Jullum, M. & Hjort, N. L. (2017)): Estimation of $\widehat{\text{bias}}^2$

Focused information criterion: $\text{FIC} = \widehat{\text{mse}}(T(\hat{F})) = \widehat{\text{Var}}(T(\hat{F})) + \widehat{\text{bias}}^2(T(\hat{F}), T(G))$

Estimation of $\widehat{\text{bias}}^2 (\neq \widehat{\text{bias}}^2)$:

When model is non-parametric:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{bias}^2(\hat{T}_{\text{np}}, T_{\text{true}}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{E}[\hat{T}_{\text{np}} - T_{\text{true}}]^2 = 0$$

When model is parametric:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bias}(\hat{T}_{\text{pm}}, T_{\text{true}}) &= \text{E}[\hat{T}_{\text{pm}} - T_{\text{true}}] \\ &= \text{E}[\hat{T}_{\text{pm}} - T_{0,\text{pm}} + T_{0,\text{pm}} - T_{\text{true}}] \\ &= \text{E}[\hat{T}_{\text{pm}} - T_{0,\text{pm}}] + T_{0,\text{pm}} - T_{\text{true}} \\ &\approx 0 + T_{0,\text{pm}} - T_{\text{true}} \end{aligned}$$

Natural choice of $\widehat{\text{bias}}(\hat{T}_{\text{pm}}, T_{\text{true}})$:

$$\widehat{\text{bias}}(\hat{T}_{\text{pm}}, T_{\text{true}}) = \hat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \hat{T}_{\text{np}}$$

FIC (Jullum, M. & Hjort, N. L. (2017)): Estimation of $\widehat{\text{bias}}^2$

$$\widehat{\text{bias}}^{2*}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}, T_{\text{true}}) = \widehat{\text{bias}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}, T_{\text{true}})^2 = (\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}})^2$$

Check whether $\widehat{\text{bias}}^{2*}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}, T_{\text{true}})$ is biased

$$\text{E} \left[\widehat{\text{bias}}^{2*}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}, T_{\text{true}}) \right] = \text{E} \left[(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}})^2 \right]$$

Use: $\text{Var}(X) = \text{E}[(X)^2] - (\text{E}[X])^2$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\text{E}[\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}}] \right)^2 + \text{Var}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}}) \\ &\approx (T_{0,\text{pm}} - T_{\text{true}})^2 + \text{Var}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}}) \\ &\approx \left(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - T_{0,\text{pm}} + T_{0,\text{pm}} - T_{\text{true}} \right)^2 + \text{Var}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}}) \\ &= \left(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - T_{\text{true}} \right)^2 + \text{Var}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}}) \\ &= \widehat{\text{bias}}^2(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}, T_{\text{true}}) + \text{Var}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}}) \end{aligned}$$

It's biased!

FIC (Jullum, M. & Hjort, N. L. (2017)): Final form

Our final unbiased estimator:

$$\widehat{\text{bias}}^2(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}, T_{\text{true}}) = (\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}})^2 - \text{Var}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}})$$

Finally, FIC becomes:

When model is parametric

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FIC} &= \widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}) + \widehat{\text{bias}}^2(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}, T_{\text{true}}) \\ &= \widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}) + (\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}})^2 - \widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}}) \\ &= \widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}) + (\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}})^2 - \widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}) - \widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{np}}) + 2\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{np}}, \widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}) \\ &= (\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - \widehat{T}_{\text{np}})^2 - \widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{np}}) + 2\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{np}}, \widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}) \end{aligned}$$

When model is non-parametric

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FIC} &= \widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{np}}) + \widehat{\text{bias}}^2(\widehat{T}_{\text{np}}, T_{\text{true}}) \\ &= \widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{np}}) \end{aligned}$$

So far, nothing specific about model or how model parameters are estimated.

However, we need asymptotic theories of model & estimation to obtain $\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{np}}, \widehat{T}_{\text{pm}})$.

Example: copula by maximum likelihood estimator

Maximum likelihood estimator:

The log-likelihood function is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_n &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,d}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [\log f_1(y_{i,1}, \alpha_1) + \dots + \log f_d(y_{i,d}, \alpha_d) + \log c(F_1(y_{i,1}, \alpha_1), \dots, F_d(y_{i,d}, \alpha_d), \theta)]\end{aligned}$$

Find the estimate of the parameter vector $\hat{\eta} = (\hat{\alpha}_1^T, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_d^T, \hat{\theta}^T)^T$ by solving

$$\left(\frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial \alpha_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial \alpha_d}, \frac{\partial \ell_n}{\partial \theta} \right) = 0.$$

Example: copula by maximum likelihood estimator

We are looking for asymptotic covariance: $\text{Cov}(\hat{T}_{\text{np}}, \hat{T}_{\text{pm}})$

Main ingredients:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{T}_{\text{np}} - T_{\text{true}} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{IF}(y_i; G) + o_{\text{pr}}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \hat{\eta} - \eta_0 &= \mathcal{I}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u(y_i; \eta_0) + o_{\text{pr}}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}u(y, \theta) &= \frac{\partial \log f(y, \eta)}{\partial \eta} \\ \mathcal{I} &= -\text{E}_G [H(y, \eta_0)] = -\text{E}_G \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f(y, \eta)}{\partial \eta \partial \eta^T} \Big|_{\eta=\eta_0} \right]\end{aligned}$$

Example: copula by maximum likelihood estimator

Main ingredients:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{T}_{\text{np}} - T_{\text{true}} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{IF}(y_i; G) + o_{\text{pr}}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \hat{\eta} - \eta_0 &= \mathcal{I}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u(y_i; \eta_0) + o_{\text{pr}}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})\end{aligned}$$

The multivariate central limit theorem gives us

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{IF}(y_i; G) \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u(y_i; \eta_0) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{\text{np}} \\ \Lambda_{\text{pm}} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \nu & Q \\ Q^T & K \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\nu &= \text{E} [\text{IF}(y; G)^2] \\ K &= \text{E} [u(y, \eta_0) u(y, \eta_0)^T] \\ Q &= \text{E} [\text{IF}(y; G) u(y, \eta_0)]\end{aligned}$$

Example: copula by maximum likelihood estimator

Apply Slutsky's theorem.

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{T}_{\text{np}} - T_{\text{true}} \\ \widehat{\eta} - \eta_0 \end{pmatrix} &= \sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{IF}(y_i; G) + o_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \mathcal{I}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u(y_i; \eta_0) + o_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{I}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{IF}(y_i; G) \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u(y_i; \eta_0) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} o_p(1) \\ o_p(1) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\xrightarrow{d} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{I}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{\text{np}} \\ \Lambda_{\text{pm}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{I}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu & Q \\ Q^T & K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{I}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \nu & Q\mathcal{I}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{I}^{-1}Q^T & \mathcal{I}^{-1}K\mathcal{I}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Example: copula by maximum likelihood estimator

We have now:

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{T}_{\text{np}} - T_{\text{true}} \\ \widehat{\eta} - \eta_0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{I}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{\text{np}} \\ \Lambda_{\text{pm}} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \nu & Q\mathcal{I}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{I}^{-1}Q^T & \mathcal{I}^{-1}K\mathcal{I}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Apply delta method with the following transformation function

$$S(u, \nu) = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ T_{\text{pm}}(\nu) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\nu \text{ is the parameter vector of the parametric model.})$$

The corresponding Jacobian is

$$\dot{S}(u, \nu) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \nu} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \nu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{\partial T_{\text{pm}}(\nu)}{\partial \nu} \right)^T \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: copula by maximum likelihood estimator

The delta method gives

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{T}_{\text{np}} - T_{\text{true}} \\ \widehat{T}_{\text{pm}} - T_{0,\text{pm}} \end{pmatrix} &= \sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{T}_{\text{np}} - T_{\text{true}} \\ T_{\text{pm}}(\widehat{\eta}) - T_{\text{pm}}(\eta_0) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dot{S}(T_{\text{true}}, \eta_0) \begin{pmatrix} \nu & QI^{-1} \\ I^{-1}Q^T & I^{-1}KI^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \dot{S}(T_{\text{true}}, \eta_0)^T \right) \\ &\sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{\partial T_{\text{pm}}(\eta_0)}{\partial \eta_0} \right)^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu & QI^{-1} \\ I^{-1}Q^T & I^{-1}KI^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial T_{\text{pm}}(\eta_0)}{\partial \eta_0} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \nu & QI^{-1}c \\ c^T I^{-1}Q^T & c^T I^{-1}KI^{-1}c \end{pmatrix} \right)\end{aligned}$$

where $c = \frac{\partial T_{\text{pm}}(\eta_0)}{\partial \eta_0}$.

So,

$$\text{Var}(\widehat{T}_{\text{np}}) = \nu$$

$$\text{Var}(\widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}) = c^T I^{-1} K I^{-1} c$$

$$\text{Cov}(\widehat{T}_{\text{np}}, \widehat{T}_{\text{pm}}) = Q I^{-1} c$$

Example: copula by maximum likelihood estimator

We have:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{T}_{np}) = \nu$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{T}_{pm}) = c^T \mathcal{I}^{-1} K \mathcal{I}^{-1} c$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{T}_{np}, \hat{T}_{pm}) = Q \mathcal{I}^{-1} c$$

where

$$\mathcal{I} = -E_G [H(y, \eta_0)]$$

$$\nu = E [\text{IF}(y; G)^2]$$

$$K = E [u(y, \eta_0) u(y, \eta_0)^T]$$

$$Q = E [\text{IF}(y; G) u(y, \eta_0)]$$

$$c = \frac{\partial T_{pm}(\eta_0)}{\partial \eta_0}$$

We define the estimated (co)variances as their sample based plug-in equivalent

We have:

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{T}_{np}) = \hat{\nu}$$

$$\widehat{\text{Var}}(\hat{T}_{pm}) = \hat{c}^T \hat{\mathcal{I}}^{-1} \hat{K} \hat{\mathcal{I}}^{-1} \hat{c}$$

$$\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\hat{T}_{np}, \hat{T}_{pm}) = \hat{Q} \hat{\mathcal{I}}^{-1} \hat{c}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathcal{I}} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n H(y_i, \hat{\eta})$$

$$\hat{K} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u(y_i, \hat{\eta}) u(y_i, \hat{\eta})^T$$

$$\hat{\nu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{IF}(y_i; \hat{G})^2$$

$$\hat{Q} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{IF}(y_i; \hat{G}) u(y_i, \hat{\eta})$$

Copula by two-stage maximum likelihood (a.k.a. IFM)

$$\ell_n = \sum_{i=1}^n [\log f_1(y_{i,1}, \alpha_1) + \cdots + \log f_d(y_{i,d}, \alpha_d) + \log c(F_1(y_{i,1}, \alpha_1), \cdots, F_d(y_{i,d}, \alpha_d), \theta)]$$

Full maximum likelihood is not always feasible when dimension is high.

Popular alternative: two-stage maximum likelihood

- Stage 1:

Estimate $\tilde{\alpha}_j$ of each margin, separately by using ML:

For $1 \leq j \leq d$, obtain $\tilde{\alpha}_j$ by solving $\frac{\partial \ell_{\alpha_j, n}}{\partial \alpha_j} = 0$ where $\ell_{\alpha_j, n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_j(y_{i,j}, \alpha_j)$.

- Stage 2:

Plug-in $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \cdots, \tilde{\alpha}_d$ from stage 1 into ℓ_n . The resulting function is

$$\tilde{\ell}_\theta = \ell_n(\tilde{\alpha}, \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n [\log f_1(y_{i,1}, \tilde{\alpha}_1) + \cdots + \log f_d(y_{i,d}, \tilde{\alpha}_d) + \log c(F_1(y_{i,1}, \tilde{\alpha}_1), \cdots, F_d(y_{i,d}, \tilde{\alpha}_d), \theta)]$$

Estimate $\tilde{\theta}$ by maximizing $\tilde{\ell}_\theta$.

Resulting estimated parameter vector: $\tilde{\eta} = (\tilde{\alpha}_1^T, \cdots, \tilde{\alpha}_d^T, \tilde{\theta}^T)^T$

Copula by two-stage maximum likelihood

To compute FIC, we need $\widehat{\text{Var}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{np}})$, $\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\widehat{T}_{\text{np}}, \widehat{T}_{\text{pm}})$.

Main ingredients:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Non-para:} \quad \widehat{T}_{\text{np}} - T_{\text{true}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{IF}(y_i; G) + o_{\text{pr}}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ \text{Two-stage ML:} \quad \tilde{\eta} - \eta_0^{2\text{ML}} = ??? \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{Full ML:} \quad \hat{\eta} - \eta_0^{\text{ML}} = \mathcal{I}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n u(y_i; \eta_0) + o_{\text{pr}}(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

Same tricks: multivariate CLT + Slutsky's theorem + delta method

Once we have $\tilde{\eta} - \eta_0^{2\text{ML}} = ???$, the job is basically done.

Asymptotic distribution of two-stage maximum likelihood (under development)

$$\text{Lemma 0.1: } \tilde{\theta} - \theta_0 = \mathcal{I}_{\theta}^{-1} \tilde{U}_{\theta,n}(\theta_0) + o_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$\text{Lemma 0.2: } \tilde{U}_{\theta,n}(\tilde{\alpha}, \theta_0) = U_{\theta,n}(\alpha_0, \theta_0) + L(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_0) + o_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$\text{Lemma 0.3: } \tilde{\theta} - \theta_0 = \mathcal{I}_{\theta}^{-1} (U_{\theta,n}(\alpha_0, \theta_0) + L(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_0)) + o_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$\text{Lemma 0.4: } \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I}_{\theta}^{-1} (\Lambda_{\theta} + L \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^{-1} \Lambda_{\alpha}) \sim N(0, \mathcal{I}_{\theta}^{-1} K_{\theta}^* \mathcal{I}_{\theta}^{-1})$$

“The master lemma”:

$$\text{Lemma 1: } \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\eta} - \eta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I}_{\eta}^{-1} L^* \Lambda_{\eta} \sim N(0, \mathcal{I}_{\eta}^{-1} L^* K_{\eta} (L^*)^{\top} \mathcal{I}_{\eta}^{-1})$$

Asymptotic distribution of two-stage maximum likelihood (under development)

N.B. We are in two-stage ML setting:

We write simply $\eta_0 = \eta_0^{2ML}$. (Full) ML estimate will be (later) denoted as η_0^{ML} .

Define:

$$U_{\theta,n}(\alpha, \theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \log c(F_1(y_{i,1}, \alpha_1), \dots, F_d(y_{i,d}, \alpha_d), \theta)}{\partial \theta}$$
$$\tilde{U}_{\theta,n}(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \log c(F_1(y_{i,1}, \tilde{\alpha}_1), \dots, F_d(y_{i,d}, \tilde{\alpha}_d), \theta)}{\partial \theta},$$

Other matrices, including L , will be defined later.

Asymptotic distribution of two-stage maximum likelihood (under development)

$$\text{Lemma 0.1: } \tilde{\theta} - \theta_0 = \mathcal{I}_{\theta}^{-1} \tilde{U}_{\theta,n}(\theta_0) + o_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$\text{Lemma 0.2: } \tilde{U}_{\theta,n}(\tilde{\alpha}, \theta_0) = U_{\theta,n}(\alpha_0, \theta_0) + L(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_0) + o_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$\text{Lemma 0.3: } \tilde{\theta} - \theta_0 = \mathcal{I}_{\theta}^{-1} (U_{\theta,n}(\alpha_0, \theta_0) + L(\tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_0)) + o_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$\text{Lemma 0.4: } \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I}_{\theta}^{-1} (\Lambda_{\theta} + L\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^{-1}\Lambda_{\alpha}) \sim N(0, \mathcal{I}_{\theta}^{-1}K_{\theta}^*\mathcal{I}_{\theta}^{-1})$$

“The master lemma”:

$$\text{Lemma 1: } \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\eta} - \eta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I}_{\eta}^{-1}L^*\Lambda_{\eta} \sim N(0, \mathcal{I}_{\eta}^{-1}L^*K_{\eta}(L^*)^T\mathcal{I}_{\eta}^{-1})$$

Asymptotic distribution of two-stage maximum likelihood (under development)

Lemma 1: $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\eta} - \eta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1} L^* \Lambda_\eta \sim N(0, \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1} L^* K_\eta (L^*)^T \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1})$

where

$$\Lambda_\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_\alpha \\ \Lambda_\theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{I}_\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{I}_\theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad L^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L \mathcal{I}_\alpha^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_\eta = \begin{pmatrix} K_\alpha & K_{\alpha,\theta} \\ K_{\alpha,\theta}^T & K_\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{I}_{\alpha_d} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} K_{\alpha_1} & K_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2} & \cdots & K_{\alpha_1,\alpha_d} \\ K_{\alpha_2,\alpha_1} & K_{\alpha_2} & \cdots & K_{\alpha_2,\alpha_d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_{\alpha_d,\alpha_1} & K_{\alpha_d,\alpha_2} & \cdots & K_{\alpha_d} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha_j} = -\mathbb{E}_G [H_{\alpha_j}(y, \alpha_{0,j})]$$

$$\mathcal{I}_\theta = -\mathbb{E}_G [H_\theta(Y, \alpha_0, \theta_0)]$$

$$K_{\alpha_j} = \mathbb{E}_G [u_{\alpha_j}(y; \alpha_{0,j}) u_{\alpha_j}(y; \alpha_{0,j})^T]$$

$$K_\theta = \mathbb{E}_G [u_\theta(y, \alpha_0, \theta_0) u_\theta(y, \alpha_0, \theta_0)^T]$$

$$K_{\alpha,\theta} = \mathbb{E} [u_\alpha(y; \alpha_0) u_\theta(y, \alpha_0, \theta_0)^T].$$

$$L = \int g \frac{\partial^2 \log c(F_1(y_1, \alpha_1), \dots, F_d(y_d, \alpha_d), \theta)}{\partial \theta \alpha^T} \Big|_{\alpha=\alpha_0, \theta=\theta_0} dy$$

Asymptotic distribution of two-stage maximum likelihood (under development)

Comparison: full ML vs two-stage ML

$$\text{Full ML: } \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\eta} - \eta_0^{\text{ML}}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1} \Lambda_\eta \sim N(0, \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1} K_\eta \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1})$$

$$\text{Two-stage ML: } \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\eta} - \eta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1} L^* \Lambda_\eta \sim N(0, \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1} L^* K_\eta (L^*)^T \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1}) \quad (\text{lemma 1})$$

Note that \mathcal{I}_η^{-1} and K_η will be different between *full ML* and *two-stage ML* in general, because $\eta_0^{\text{ML}} \neq \eta_0^{2\text{ML}}$ unless our model contains the true model.

$$L^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L \mathcal{I}_\alpha^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L = \int \mathbf{g} \frac{\partial^2 \log c(F_1(y_1, \alpha_1), \dots, F_d(y_d, \alpha_d), \theta)}{\partial \theta \alpha^T} \Big|_{\alpha=\alpha_0, \theta=\theta_0} dy$$

In the special case when $L = 0$, we have: *full ML* = *two-stage ML*.

Is $\eta_0^{2\text{ML}} = \eta_0^{\text{ML}}$?

Is $\eta_0^{2\text{ML}} = \eta_0^{\text{ML}}$?

Answer: only if the our model captures the true model

True density: $g(y_1, \dots, y_d) = g_1(y_1) \cdots g_d(y_d) c_0(G_1(y_1), \dots, G_d(y_d))$

Model density: $f(y_1, \dots, y_d) = f_1(y_1, \alpha_1) \cdots f_d(y_d, \alpha_d) c(F_1(y_1, \alpha_1), \dots, F_d(y_d, \alpha_d), \theta)$

Recall that maximum likelihood is aiming for η_0 that minimizes Kullback-Leibler divergence. Under the true model assumption (i.e. the true model lines within our model space), $\eta_0^{\text{ML}} = (\alpha_0^{\text{ML}}, \theta_0^{\text{ML}})$ is the value that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{KL}(g, f) &= \text{KL}(g_1(y_1) \cdots g_d(y_d) c_0(G_1(y_1), \dots, G_d(y_d)), \\ &\quad f_1(y_1, \alpha_{0,1}) \cdots f_d(y_d, \alpha_{0,d}) c(F_1(y_1, \alpha_{0,1}), \dots, F_d(y_d, \alpha_{0,d}), \theta_0)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Is $\eta_0^{2\text{ML}} = \eta_0^{\text{ML}}$?

True density: $g(y_1, \dots, y_d) = g_1(y_1) \cdots g_d(y_d) c_0(G_1(y_1), \dots, G_d(y_d))$

Model density: $f(y_1, \dots, y_d) = f_1(y_1, \alpha_1) \cdots f_d(y_d, \alpha_d) c(F_1(y_1, \alpha_1), \dots, F_d(y_d, \alpha_d), \theta)$

$\text{KL}(g, f) = 0$ is only possible when $f_j(Y, \alpha_{0,j}) = g_j$. (i.e. our model marginal density contains the true marginal density.)

So,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0^{2\text{ML}} &= \arg \min_{\alpha_j} \text{KL}(g_j, f_j(Y, \alpha_j)) \\ &= \arg \max_{\alpha_j} \left\{ \int g_j \log f_j(y_j, \alpha_j) dy_j \right\}\end{aligned}$$

should be equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0^{\text{ML}} &= \arg \min_{\eta} \text{KL}(g, f(Y, \eta)) \\ &= \arg \max_{\eta} \left\{ \int g (\log f_1(y_1, \alpha_1) + \cdots + \log f_d(y_d, \alpha_d) + \log c(F_1(y_1, \alpha_1), \dots, F_d(y_d, \alpha_d), \theta)) dy \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

This automatically implies that $\theta_0^{2\text{ML}} = \theta_0^{\text{ML}}$.

So, we have $\eta_0^{2\text{ML}} = \eta_0^{\text{ML}}$.

When our model doesn't contain the true model, $\eta_0^{2\text{ML}} \neq \eta_0^{\text{ML}}$

Asymptotic distribution of two-stage maximum likelihood (under development)

Comparison: full ML vs two-stage ML

$$\text{Full ML: } \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\eta} - \eta_0^{\text{ML}}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1} \Lambda_\eta \sim N(0, \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1} K_\eta \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1})$$

$$\text{Two-stage ML: } \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\eta} - \eta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1} L^* \Lambda_\eta \sim N(0, \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1} L^* K_\eta (L^*)^T \mathcal{I}_\eta^{-1}) \quad (\text{lemma 1})$$

Note that \mathcal{I}_η^{-1} and K_η will be different between *full ML* and *two-stage ML* in general, because $\eta_0^{\text{ML}} \neq \eta_0^{2\text{ML}}$ unless our model contains the true model.

$$L^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ L \mathcal{I}_\alpha^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L = \int g \frac{\partial^2 \log c(F_1(y_1, \alpha_1), \dots, F_d(y_d, \alpha_d), \theta)}{\partial \theta \alpha^T} \Big|_{\alpha=\alpha_0, \theta=\theta_0} dy$$

$L = 0$ leads to *full ML* = *two-stage ML*.

End

To do:

- Proofread & implement lemma 1
- Possible bonus: a new Copula Information Criterion (CIC) under two-stage ML: $\hat{\ell}_{n, \max} - \hat{p}^*$

Questions:

- What does $L = 0$ mean?
- When is $L = 0$?
- When is L small in size?

- [1] Ingrid Hobæk Haff et al.
Parameter estimation for pair-copula constructions.
Bernoulli, 19(2):462–491, 2013.
- [2] Harry Joe.
Multivariate models and multivariate dependence concepts.
CRC Press, 1997.
- [3] Martin Jullum and Nils Lid Hjort.
Parametric or nonparametric: the fic approach.
Statistica Sinica, 2017.
- [4] Martin Jullum and Nils Lid Hjort.
Supplementary material: Parametric or nonparametric: the fic approach.
Statistica Sinica, 2017.
- [5] Roger B Nelsen.
An introduction to copulas.
Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [6] Aad W Van der Vaart.
Asymptotic statistics, volume 3.
Cambridge university press, 2000.