

HÌNH HỌC ĐẠI SỐ THỰC  
BÀI 4:  
TAME TOPOLOGY AND TARSKI-TYPE  
SYSTEMS

**Tạ Lê Lợi**

---

**Đại học Đà lạt - 7/2023**

ABSTRACT. This note is an overview of the theory of o-minimal structures on the real field. The theory is a generalization of semi-algebraic and subanalytic geometry.

## Introduction

In Real Algebraic and Analytic Geometry the following classes of sets and their geometries are considered:

- (1) The class of semialgebraic sets (Whitney-Łojasiewicz, in the 50's) (see [BCR]).
- (2) The class of semianalytic sets (Łojasiewicz, in the 60's) (see [Ł]).
- (3) The class of subanalytic sets (Gabrielov-Hironaka-Hardt and Krakovian school, in the 70's) (see [BM][Hi][ŁZ]).

These classes of sets have many nice properties. Semialgebraic and subanalytic sets form the so-called *Tarski-type systems*, that is the corresponding class is closed under boolean operators and under proper projections. In particular, these classes have the finiteness property: each set in these classes has locally only finite number of connected components and each of the components also belongs to the corresponding class.

In some problems, we have to treat functions like  $x^\alpha$  or  $\exp(-\frac{1}{x})$ , where  $x > 0$  and  $\alpha$  is irrational number, which are not subanalytic at 0.

Naturally, it is required an extension of classes mentioned above. According to van den Dries [D1], the finiteness is the most remarkable in the sense that if a Tarski-type system has this property, it would preserve many nice properties of semi and subanalytic sets. Van den Dries, Knight, Pillay and Steinhorn gave the name *o-minimal structures* for such systems and developed the general theory ([D1][KPS][PS]) (c.f. [S1][S2]). Khovanskii's results on Fewnomials [Kh], and a notable theorem of Wilkie on model completeness [W1] confirm the o-minimality of the real exponential field. In recent years, o-minimality of many remarkable structures have been proved and many interesting results have been established in the theory of o-minimal structures on the real field. In this note, we will make an overview of the theory of o-minimal structures on the real field. The definition and some examples of o-minimal structures are given in Section I. In Section II we list some important properties of o-minimal structures. The proofs can be found in papers or books given in the references. In the last section we sketch the idea of constructing an analytic-geometric category corresponding to an o-minimal structures.

## I. O-minimal structures on $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$

**1. Motivation.** Let  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a class of real-valued functions on spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similar to semialgebraic or subanalytic sets, it is

natural to construct a class of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , as follows.

First consider basic sets of the forms

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) > 0\}, \quad \text{where } f \in \mathcal{F}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then starting from these basic sets we create new sets by taking: finite unions, finite intersections, complements, Cartesian products, and linear projections (or proper projections) onto smaller dimensional Euclidean spaces. Repeating these operators with the new sets that arise, we get a class of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is closed under usual topological operators (e.g. taking closure, interior, boundary, ...).

We are interested in the case that the new sets are not so complicated and pathological as Cantor sets, Borel sets, nonmeasurable sets..., since it promises a “tame topology” for the class of sets that we constructed. The corresponding category of spaces and maps between them may yield a rich algebraic-analytic-topological structures.

**2. Definition.** A *structure* on the real field  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  is a sequence  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that the following conditions are satisfied for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

(D1)  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is a boolean algebra of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

(D2) If  $A \in \mathcal{D}_n$ , then  $A \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R} \times A \in \mathcal{D}_{n+1}$ .

(D3) If  $A \in \mathcal{D}_{n+1}$ , then  $\pi(A) \in \mathcal{D}_n$ , where

$\pi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is the projection on the first  $n$  coordinates.

(D4)  $\mathcal{D}_n$  contains  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : P(x) = 0\}$  for every polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

Structure  $\mathcal{D}$  is called to be *o-minimal* if

(D5) Each set in  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is a finite union of intervals and points.

A set belonging to  $\mathcal{D}$  is called *definable* (in that structure). *Definable maps* in structure  $\mathcal{D}$  are maps whose graphs are definable sets in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**3. Examples.** Given a collection of real-valued functions  $\mathcal{F}$ , the smallest structure on  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  containing the graphs of all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is denoted by  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, \mathcal{F})$ .

3.1 Let  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{alg}}$  be the smallest structure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \cdot, +)$ . By Tarski-Seidenberg's Theorem a subset  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{alg}}$  if and only if  $X$  is semialgebraic. Obviously,  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{alg}}$  is o-minimal.

3.2 Let  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, \mathcal{A})$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the class of all restricted analytic functions on  $[-1, 1]^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Definable sets in  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}}$  are finitely subanalytic sets (see [D2]), i.e  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}}$  if and only if  $X$  is subanalytic in the projective space  $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ , where we identify  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with an open set of  $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$  via  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (1 : x_1 : \dots : x_n)$ . By Gabrielov's Theorem on the complement and a Łojasiewicz result on

connected components of semianalytic sets (see [BM][Ł][ŁZ])  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}}$  is o-minimal.

3.3 Let  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, \exp)$ . Wilkie [W1] proved that  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$  is model complete, as a direct consequence of this theorem each definable sets in  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$  is the image of the zeroset of a function in  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_N, \exp(x_1), \dots, \exp(x_N)]$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  under a natural projection (see [L2]). Then by a Khovanskii result on fewnomials [Kh],  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$  is an o-minimal structure. An analytic proof of Wilkie's theorem is given in [LR]. Note that  $x^\alpha, \exp(-\frac{1}{x})$  ( $x > 0$  and  $\alpha$  is irrational) are definable functions in  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$  but not subanalytic at 0.

3.4 Let  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, \mathcal{A}, \exp)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  as in 3.2. Extending Wilkie's method, van den Dries and Miller [DM1] proved that  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$  is also o-minimal. (see also [LR] for an analytic proof)

3.5 Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Pfaffian chain, i.e.  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  are smooth functions and there exist polynomials

$P_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_i]$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x) = P_{ij}(x, f_1(x), \dots, f_i(x)) \quad (i = 1, \dots, k : j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$  be the class of all functions of the form

$f(x) = Q(x, f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x))$ , where  $Q \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_k]$ ,  $\equiv$

(they are called *Pfaffian functions*). Then, by Khovanskii's Theory [Kh] and by a result of Wilkie [W2],  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, \mathcal{P})$  is o-minimal.

## II. Some properties of o-minimal structures

Throughout this section  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes an o-minimal structure on  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ . "Definable" means definable in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

We now list some important results of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

### 1. Elementary properties.

- (i) *The closure, the interior, and the boundary of a definable set are definable.*
- (ii) *Compositions of definable maps are definable.*
- (iii) *Images and inverse images of definable sets under definable maps are definable.*

In particular, these properties imply that if  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a definable function then the sets  $C^p(f) = \{x \in S : f \text{ is of class } C^p \text{ at } x\}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , are definable. Note that these properties hold for any structure not necessary o-minimal.

**2. Cell decomposition.** Let  $p$  be a positive integer.

**Definition.**  $C^p$  cells in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are connected  $C^p$ -submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  belonging to  $\mathcal{D}$  which are defined by induction on  $n$  as follows:

- The  $C^p$  cells in  $\mathbb{R}$  are points or open intervals.
- If  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $C^p$  cell and  $f, g : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are definable functions of class  $C^p$  such that  $f < g$ , then the sets:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C \times \mathbb{R}, \Gamma(f) &= \{(x, t) : t = f(x)\}, \\
 (f, g) &= \{(x, t) : f(x) < t < g(x)\}, \\
 (-\infty, f) &= \{(x, t) : t < f(x)\} \text{ and} \\
 (f, +\infty) &= \{(x, t) : f(x) < t\} \text{ are } C^p \text{ cells in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

A  $C^p$  decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by induction on  $n$ :

- A  $C^p$  decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}$  is a finite collection of intervals and points  $\{(-\infty, a_1), \dots, (a_k, +\infty), \{a_1\}, \dots, \{a_k\}\}$ , where  $a_1 < \dots < a_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- A  $C^p$  decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a finite partition of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  into  $C^p$  cells  $C$ , such that the collection of all the projections  $\pi(C)$  is a  $C^p$  decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is the projection on the first  $n$  coordinates.

We say that a decomposition *compatible with* a class  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , if each  $S \in \mathcal{A}$  is a union of some cells of the decomposition.

**Theorem [D2].**

- (i) For  $S_1, \dots, S_k \in \mathcal{D}_n$ , there exists a  $C^p$  decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  compatible with  $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ .
- (ii) For each definable function  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists a  $C^p$  decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  compatible with  $S$  such that for each cell  $C \subset S$  of the decomposition the restriction  $f|_C$  is of class  $C^p$ .



*Note:* The structures given in Examples 1.3.1-3.4 admit analytic decomposition, i.e. the theorem still holds true if we replace “ $C^p$ ” by “analytic”.

The theorem implies

**Theorem on components.** *Every definable set has only finitely many connected components and each component is also definable.*

**3. Definable selection** [D2][L3]. *Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  be a definable set and let  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the projection on the first  $n$  coordinates. Then there exists a definable map  $\rho : \pi(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\pi(\rho(x)) = x$ , for all  $x \in \pi(S)$ .*

*In particular, if  $a \in \overline{S}$  is a nonisolated point of  $S$ , then there exists a  $C^p$  definable curve  $\gamma : (0, 1) \rightarrow S$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \gamma(t) = a$ .*

*Note:* For sets definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{alg}}$  or  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}}$ , by Puiseux lemma,  $\gamma$  can be chosen to be analytic on  $(-1, 1)$ . For sets in structure  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$  or  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ , the theorem holds true for analytic curve  $\gamma$ ; but, in general, it cannot be analytically extended to 0 (e.g.  $S = \{(x, y) : x > 0, y = \exp(-\frac{1}{x})\}$ ).

**4. Dimension.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a definable set. The dimension of  $S$  is

defined by

$$\dim S = \{ \dim C : C \text{ is a } C^p\text{-submanifold of } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ containing } S \}.$$

**Proposition [D2].**

(i)  $\dim(\bar{S} \setminus S) < \dim S$ .

(ii)  $\dim f(S) \leq \dim S$ , for every  $\mathcal{D}$ -map  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ .

## 5. Stratifications.

**Whitney and Verdier conditions.** Let  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  be  $C^1$  submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\Gamma \subset \bar{\Gamma}' \setminus \Gamma'$ . Let  $T_y \Gamma$  denotes the tangent space of  $\Gamma$  at  $y$ , and  $\delta(T, T') = \sup_{v \in T, \|v\|=1} d(v, T')$  is the distance of vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $y_0$  be a point of  $\Gamma$ . We say that the pair  $(\Gamma, \Gamma')$  satisfies the Whitney condition at  $y_0$  if the following holds:

(b) For every sequences  $(x_k)$  in  $\Gamma'$  and  $(y_k)$  in  $\Gamma$  converging to  $y_0$  such that  $(T_{x_k} \Gamma')$  converges to a limit  $\tau$  and  $(\mathbb{R}(x_k - y_k))$  converges to a limit  $l$ , then  $l \subset \tau$ .

We say that the pair  $(\Gamma, \Gamma')$  satisfies the Verdier condition at  $y_0$  if the following holds:

(w) There exists a constant  $C > 0$  and a neighborhood  $U$  of  $y_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$

such that

$$\delta(T_y\Gamma, T_x\Gamma') \leq C\|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } x \in \Gamma' \cap U, y \in \Gamma \cap U,$$

**Definition.** A  $C^p$  stratification of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a partition  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into finitely many subsets, called strata, such that:

(S1) Each stratum is a connected  $C^p$  submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and also definable set.

(S2) For every  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\bar{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma$  is a union of some of the strata.

A  $C^p$  Whitney stratification (resp. Verdier stratification) is a  $C^p$  stratification  $\mathcal{S}$  such that for all  $\Gamma, \Gamma' \in \mathcal{S}$ , if  $\Gamma \subset \bar{\Gamma}' \setminus \Gamma'$ , then  $(\Gamma, \Gamma')$  satisfies the condition (b) (resp. (w)) at each point of  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem [L5].** Let  $S_1, \dots, S_k$  be definable sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exists a  $C^p$  Verdier stratification of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  compatible with  $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ .

In o-minimal structures the Verdier condition (w) implies the Whitney condition (b) (see [L5]), so we have

**Theorem [DM2].** (c.f. [L3][S2][L5]) Let  $S_1, \dots, S_k$  be definable sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exists a  $C^p$  Whitney stratification of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  compatible with  $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $\mathcal{D}$ -sets and  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a definable map. A  $C^p$  Whitney stratification of  $f$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are  $C^p$  Whitney stratifications of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  respectively, such that  $\mathcal{S} \equiv$

compatible with  $S$  and for each  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}$  if  $\Gamma \subset S$ , then there exists  $\Phi \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $f|_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \rightarrow \Phi$  is a  $C^p$  submersion.

**Theorem** [DM2] (c.f.[L6][S2]). *Let  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a definable map. Then there exists a  $C^p$  Whitney stratification of  $f$ .*

**Thom stratifications.** Let  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous definable function. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a stratification of  $f$ . For each  $x \in \Gamma$ ,  $T_{x,f}$  denotes the tangent space of the level of  $f|_{\Gamma}$  at  $x$ , i.e.  $T_{x,f} = \ker d(f|_{\Gamma})(x)$ . Let  $\Gamma, \Gamma' \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Gamma'} \setminus \Gamma'$ . We say that the pair  $(\Gamma, \Gamma')$  satisfies the *Thom condition* ( $a_f$ ) at  $y_0 \in \Gamma$  if and only if the following holds:

( $a_f$ ) for every sequence  $(x_k)$  in  $\Gamma'$ , converging to  $y_0$ , we have

$$\delta(T_{y_0,f}, T_{x_k,f}) \longrightarrow 0 .$$

We say that  $(\Gamma, \Gamma')$  satisfies the *strict Thom condition* ( $w_f$ ) at  $y_0$  if:

( $w_f$ ) there exists a constant  $C > 0$  and a neighborhood  $U$  of  $y_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , such that

$$\delta(T_{y,f}, T_{x,f}) \leq C \|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } x \in \Gamma' \cap U, y \in \Gamma \cap U.$$

**Theorem** [L4]. *There exists a  $C^p$  stratification of  $f$  satisfying the Thom condition ( $a_f$ ) at every point of the strata.*

In general, definable functions cannot be stratified to satisfy the condition  $(w_f)$ . For example, the function  $f : (a, b) \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x, y) = y^x$  ( $0 < a < b$ ).

However, in polynomially bounded structures (see the definition 8) we have

**Theorem [L5].** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}$  is polynomially bounded. Then there exists a  $C^p$  stratification of  $f$  satisfying the condition  $(w_f)$  at each point of the strata.*

## 6. Trivializations.

**Definition.** Let  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , be a definable map. A *definable trivialization* of  $f$  is a pair  $(F, \lambda)$  consisting of a definable set  $F$  and a definable map  $\lambda : S \rightarrow F$ , such that  $(f, \lambda) : S \rightarrow f(S) \times F$  is a homeomorphism.

The following is a version of Hardt's theorem on trivialization [Ha].

**Theorem [D2].** *Let  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a continuous definable map. Then there is a partition of  $f(S) = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_k$  into definable sets such that  $f|_{f^{-1}(C_i)}$  can be definable trivialized for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .*

## 7. Triangulations.

Whitney stratified spaces can be triangulated. In o-minimal setting we have

**Theorem [D2].** *Let  $S_1, \dots, S_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a definable sets. Then*

$S = \cup_{i=1}^k S_i$  admits a triangulation compatible with  $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ , i.e. there exist a simplicial complex  $K$  and a homeomorphism  $h : |K| \rightarrow S$  belonging to  $\mathcal{D}$  such that each  $S_i$  is a union of some elements of  $\{h(\sigma) : \sigma \in K\}$ .

**Theorem [C][S2].** Let  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a definable function. Then there exist a simplicial complex  $K$  and a definable homeomorphism  $h : |K| \rightarrow S$  such that  $f \circ h$  is linear on each simplex of  $K$ .

**Corollary [C].**(c.f. [L6]) Let  $f : S \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x, t) \mapsto f_t(x)$ , be a definable family of functions. Then there exists finite partition  $T = \cup_{i=1}^k T_i$  into  $C^p$  definable manifolds, such that for  $t$  and  $t'$  in the same  $T_i$ ,  $f_t$  and  $f_{t'}$  are topologically equivalent, that is there exist definable homeomorphisms  $h : S \rightarrow S$ , and  $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $f_t \circ f = \lambda \circ f_{t'}$

The corollary is an extension of [F], where Fukuda proved that the number of topological types of polynomial functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of degree  $\leq d$  is finite.

## 8. Asymptotic behaviours.

**Monotonicity [D2][KPS][PS]** Let  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a definable function. Then there exists a partition  $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k+1} = b$  such that  $f|_{(a_i, a_{i+1})}$  is  $C^p$ , and either constant or strictly monotone, for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

*Note:* From Monotonicity, the germs at  $+\infty$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  forms a *Hardy field*, i.e a set of germs at  $+\infty$  of real-valued functions on neighborhoods of  $+\infty$  that is closed under differentiation and that form a field with usual addition and multiplication of germs.

**Definition.**  $\mathcal{D}$  is *polynomially bounded* if for every definable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|f(t)| \leq t^n, \text{ for all sufficiently large } t.$$

$\mathcal{D}$  is *exponentially bounded* if for every definable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|f(t)| \leq \exp_n(t), \text{ for all sufficiently large } t,$$

where  $\exp_0(t) = t$  and  $\exp_{m+1}(t) = \exp(\exp_m(t))$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Note:*  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{alg}}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}}$  are polynomially bounded;  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$  are exponentially bounded (see [DM1]).

**Uniform bounds.** Let  $f : X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a definable function. Then there exists a definable function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq \varphi(t), \text{ for sufficiently large (depending on } x) t.$$

This is proved by the author in a letter to C. Miller (see [DM2]).

**Piecewise uniform asymptotics [M].** Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}$  is polynomially bounded. Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be definable. Then there exists a partition  $\{X_1, \dots, X_l\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into cells such that for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$  either  $f(x, t) = 0$  for all  $x \in X_i$  and sufficiently small (depending on  $x$ ) positive  $t$ , or there exist  $r_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and a continuous definable function  $c_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$  such that  $f(x, t) = c_i(x)t^{r_i} + o(t^{r_i})$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , for all  $x \in X_i$ .

**9. Łojasiewicz-type inequalities.** Let  $\Phi^p$  denote the set of all odd, strictly increasing  $C^p$  definable bijection from  $\mathbb{R}$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $p$ -flat at 0.

**Theorem [DM1]** (see also [L1][L2][Ku2]).

(i) Let  $f, g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous definable functions on closed subset  $S$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $f^{-1}(0) \subset g^{-1}(0)$ . Then there exist  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varphi \in \Phi^p$  and a continuous function  $h$  on  $S$  such that  $\varphi(g) = hf$ . In particular, there exist  $\varphi, \varphi' \in \Phi^p$  such that

$$|f(x)| \geq \varphi(|g(x)|), \quad \forall x \in S,$$

$$|f(x)| \geq \varphi'(\text{dist}(x, f^{-1}(0))), \quad \forall x \in S,$$

(ii) Let  $X, Y$  be closed definable sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exist



$p \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi \in \Phi^p$  such that

$$\text{dist}(x, X) + \text{dist}(x, Y) \geq \varphi(\text{dist}(x, X \cap Y)), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(iii) Let  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$  definable function on an open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that  $0 \in \overline{U}$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0$ . Then there exist

$p \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi \in \Phi^p$  such that

$$|\text{grad}f(x)| \geq \varphi^{-1}(|f(x)|), \quad \text{for } x \in U \text{ close to } 0.$$

*Note:* If  $\mathcal{D}$  is polynomially bounded then  $\varphi$  is of the form  $\varphi(t) = \text{sign}(t)t^\alpha, \alpha > 0$ . If  $\mathcal{D}$  is exponentially bounded then

$$\varphi(t) = \text{sign}(t) \frac{1}{\exp_m(1/|t|)}, \quad \text{for some } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

## 10. Metric property.

(i) Let  $S \in \mathcal{D}_n$  be connected and compact. Then there exist a continuous definable function  $\gamma : S^2 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi^p$ , such that for every  $x, y \in S$

$$\text{length}(\gamma_{x,y}) \leq \varphi^{-1}(\|x - y\|),$$

where  $\gamma_{x,y}(t) = \gamma(x, y, t), t \in [0, 1]$ , being a path from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $S$ .

(ii) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite collection of definable sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exists a stratification  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  compatible with  $\mathcal{A}$ , such that for all  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{S}$ , and

$x, y \in \Gamma$  there exists a definable path  $\gamma_{x,y}$  from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $\Gamma$  with  $\text{length}(\gamma_{x,y}) \leq C\|x - y\|$ , where  $C$  is a constant depending only on  $n$ . (i) is given in [DM1]. (ii) can be proved by the same argument of [Ku1].

### III. Globalization.

The notions of definable sets and their properties can be globalized in a natural way to arbitrary analytic manifolds. Here we sketch the idea due to van den Dries and Miller [DM2].

**1. Analytic-geometric categories.** We say that an analytic-geometric category  $\mathcal{C}$  is given if each manifold  $M$  (= real analytic, Hausdorff manifold with a countable basis for its topology) is equipped with a collection  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  of subsets of  $M$  such that the following conditions are satisfied for all manifolds  $M$  and  $N$ :

- (AG1)  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  is a boolean algebra of subsets of  $M$ , with  $M \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ .
- (AG2) If  $A \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ , then  $A \times \mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{C}(M \times \mathbb{R})$ .
- (AG3) If  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is a proper analytic map and  $A \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ , then  $f(A) \in \mathcal{C}(N)$ .
- (AG4) If  $A \in \mathcal{C}(M)$  and  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  is an open covering of  $M$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{C}(M)$  if and only if  $A \cap U_i \in \mathcal{C}(U_i)$ , for all  $i \in I$ .
- (AG5) Every bounded set in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$  has finite boundary.

It is proved in [DM2] that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category with its objects being pairs  $(A, M)$ , where  $M$  is a manifold and  $A \in \mathcal{C}(M)$ , and its morphisms

$(A, M) \rightarrow (B, N)$  being maps  $f : A \rightarrow B$  whose graphs belong to  $\mathcal{C}(M \times N)$ .

## 2. Analytic-geometric categories correspond to o-minimal

**structures on  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}}$ .** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an o-minimal structure on  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}}$ . One can construct an analytic-geometry category  $\mathcal{C}$  by defining the collection  $\mathcal{C}(M)$  in a manifold  $M$  to be those set  $A \subset M$  such that for each  $x \in M$ , there exist an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , an open set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and an analytic homeomorphism  $h : U \rightarrow V$  such that  $h(A \cap U) \in \mathcal{D}_n$ . From the definition it follows that the category  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{an}}$  of subanalytic sets and continuous subanalytic maps is the smallest analytic-geometric category corresponding to the structure  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}}$ .

**3. Properties of analytic-geometry categories.** Results in Section 2 can be translated to the setting of analytic-geometric categories. Perhaps the only thing one has to change is to replace “finite” by “locally finite”.

## References

[BCR] J.Bochnak, M.Coste and M.-F.Roy, *Géométrie algébrique réel*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[BM] E.Bierston & P.Milman, *Semianalytic and subanalytic sets*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 67(1988), 5-42.

[C] M.Coste, *Finitude du nombre de types topologiques dans une définissable de fonctions*, preprint(1996).

[D1] L.van den Dries, *A generalization of the*

- Tarski-Seidenberg theorem and some nondefinability results*,  
Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 15(1986), 189-193.
- [D2] L.van den Dries, *Tame Topology and o-minimal Structures*, monograph (1994).
- [DM1] L.van den Dries and C.Miller, *On the real exponential field with restricted analytic functions*, Israel J. Math. 85(1994), 19-56.
- [DM2] L.van den Dries and C.Miller, *Geometric Categories and o-minimal Structures*, Duke Math. J. 84, No 2(1996), 497-540.
- [F] T.Fukuda, *Types topologiques de polynômes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 46(1976), 87-106.
- [Ha] R.Hardt, *Semi-algebraic local triviality in semi-algebraic mappings*, Amer. J. Math. 102(1980), 291-302.
- [Hi] H.Hironaka, *Subanalytic sets*, Number theory, algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Kinokuniya, Tokyo (1973), 453-493.
- [Kh] A.G.Khovanskii, *Fewnomials*, Trans. Math. Monographs AMS Vol.88(1991).
- [KPS] J.Knight, A.Pillay and C.Steinhorn, *Definable sets in ordered structures II*, Trans. AMS 295(1986), 593-605.
- [Ku1] K.Kurdyka, *On a subanalytic stratification satisfying a Whitney property with exponent 1*, Lecture Notes in Math., M.Coste et al., eds, Vol.1524(1992), 316-323.
- [Ku2] K.Kurdyka, *On gradients of functions definable in o-minimal structures*, preprint(1997).

- [L1] T.L.Loï, *On the global Łojasiewicz inequalities for the class of logarithmic-exponential functions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, (Série I) 318(1994), 543-548.
- [L2] T.L.Loï, *Łojasiewicz Inequalities for Sets Definable in the Structure  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier 45,4(1995), 951-971.
- [L3] T.L.Loï, *Whitney Stratification of Sets Definable in the Structure  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$* , Banach Center Publications, Vol 33(1996), 401-409.
- [L4] T.L.Loï, *Thom stratifications for functions definable in o-minimal structures on  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$* , C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Série I, 324 (1997), 1391-1394.
- [L5] T.L.Loï, *Verdier and Strict Thom Stratifications in o-minimal structures*, Illinois J.Math., Vol. 42, No.2 (1998), 347-356.
- [L6] T.L.Loï, *Stratifications of families of functions definable in o-minimal structures*, Acta. Math. Vietnam., Vol. 27, No.2 (2002) , 239-244.
- [L R] J.M.Lion & J.P.Rolin, *Théorème de Gabrielov et fonctions log-exp-algebriques*, preprint (1996).
- [Ł] S.Łojasiewicz, *Ensembles Semi-Analytiques*, IHES, Bures-sur-Yvette, 1965.
- [ŁZ] S.Łojasiewicz & M.A.Zurro, *Una introducción a la geometría semi -y subanalítica*, Univesidad de Valladolid (1993). monograph.

- [M] C.Miller, *Expansion of the real field with power functions*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 68(1994), 79-94.
- [PS] A.Pillay & C.Steinhorn, *Definable sets in ordered structures I*, Trans. AMS 295(1986), 565-592.
- [S1] M.Shiota, *Geometry of subanalytic and semianalytic sets: Abstract*, Real analytic and Algebraic Geometry, F.Brogia et al., eds., W. de Gruyter (1995), 251-275.
- [S2] M.Shiota, *Geometry of subanalytic and semianalytic sets*, monograph (1996).
- [W1] A.Wilkie, *Model completeness results for expansions of the ordered field of real numbers by restricted Pfaffian functions and the exponential function*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9(1996), 1051-1094.
- [W2] A.Wilkie, *A general theorem of the complement and some new  $\mathcal{o}$ -minimal structures*, manuscript (1996).