

THH AND TAQ

JOHN ROGNES

I. SYMMETRIC RING SPECTRA (SEPTEMBER 19TH 2006)

We follow Hovey, Shipley and Smith (Symmetric spectra, JAMS, 1999).

I.1. Symmetric sequences.

Let Σ be the skeleton category of finite sets and bijections, with $ob\Sigma = \mathbb{N}_0$ (the non-negative integers), $\Sigma(n, n) = \Sigma_n$ (the symmetric group on n letters) for $n \geq 0$, and $\Sigma(m, n) = \emptyset$ (the empty set) for $m \neq n$.

Disjoint union of finite sets defines a functor $+: \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ that takes (m, n) to $m + n$ and maps $\Sigma(m, m) \times \Sigma(n, n) = \Sigma_m \times \Sigma_n$ to $\Sigma(m + n, m + n) = \Sigma_{m+n}$ by the standard inclusion $\Sigma_m \times \Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_{m+n}$. It makes $(\Sigma, +, 0)$ a permutative (= strict symmetric monoidal) category.

A *symmetric sequence* of pointed simplicial sets, is a functor $X: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_*$, where \mathcal{S}_* is the category of pointed simplicial sets. Equivalently, it is a sequence of pointed simplicial sets X_n , with a pointed left Σ_n -action on X_n , for each $n \geq 0$. Here $X_n = X(n)$ and $\pi \in \Sigma_n$ acts on X_n by $X(\pi): X(n) \rightarrow X(n)$. We refer to X_n as the space at the n -th level of X .

A map $X \rightarrow Y$ of symmetric sequences is the same as a natural transformation of functors from Σ , or equivalently, a sequence of Σ_n -equivariant maps $X_n \rightarrow Y_n$, for $n \geq 0$. We write \mathcal{S}_*^Σ for the category of symmetric sequences. Similarly, we can consider symmetric sequences of pointed topological spaces, or in any other category.

I.2. The tensor product.

Given two symmetric sequences X and Y , we can form their external smash product $X \bar{\otimes} Y$, which is the functor $\Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_*$ that takes (p, q) to the smash product $X_p \wedge Y_q$ of pointed simplicial sets, with the obvious $\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q$ -action.

The left Kan extension of this functor along $+: \Sigma \times \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is, by definition, the *tensor product* $X \otimes Y$ of the two symmetric sequences.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma \times \Sigma & \xrightarrow{X \bar{\otimes} Y} & \mathcal{S}_* \\
 \downarrow + & \nearrow X \otimes Y & \\
 \Sigma & &
 \end{array}$$

Its value at $n \geq 0$ is given by the following colimit formed in \mathcal{S}_* , over the left fiber

category $+/n$:

$$\begin{aligned} (X \otimes Y)_n &= \operatorname{colim}_{p+q \rightarrow n} X_p \wedge Y_q \\ &= \bigvee_{p+q=n} \Sigma_{n+} \wedge_{\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q} X_p \wedge Y_q. \end{aligned}$$

We can present a k -simplex in $(X \otimes Y)_n$ as $(\alpha, x \wedge y)$, where $x \in X_p$ and $y \in Y_q$ are k -simplices, and $\alpha \in \Sigma_n$ is a morphism $p+q \rightarrow n$ in Σ . For $(\sigma, \tau) \in \Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q$ we have $(\alpha(\sigma \times \tau), x \wedge y) = (\alpha, \sigma(x) \wedge \tau(y))$.

The tensor product is coherently associative and unital, having the symmetric sequence I with $I_0 = S^0$ and $I_n = *$ (a point) for $n > 0$ as a unit. The role of the symmetric groups is to also make the tensor product commutative, up to the following coherent isomorphism.

The *twist isomorphism* $\tau: X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\cong} Y \otimes X$ takes $(\alpha, x \wedge y)$ to $(\alpha\rho, y \wedge x)$, where $\rho: q+p \rightarrow p+q$ is the (q, p) -shuffle bijection.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x \wedge y & & p+q \xrightarrow{\alpha} n \\ & \uparrow \rho & \nearrow \alpha\rho \\ y \wedge x & & q+p \end{array}$$

It makes $(\mathcal{S}_*^\Sigma, \otimes, I)$ a symmetric monoidal category.

I.3. The sphere symmetric sequence.

Let $S^1 = \Delta^1/\partial\Delta^1$ be the simplicial circle, and let $S^n = S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1$ (n times) be the simplicial n -sphere, for $n \geq 0$. The symmetric group Σ_n acts on S^n by permuting the smash factors. This defines the *sphere* symmetric sequence S , with $S_n = S^n$.

There is a unit map $e: I \rightarrow S$ that is the identity at level 0.

We now define a multiplication map $m: S \otimes S \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{S}_*^Σ . At the level of the n -th spaces, it is the Σ_n -equivariant map

$$\bigvee_{p+q=n} \Sigma_{n+} \wedge_{\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q} S^p \wedge S^q \rightarrow S^n$$

whose restriction to the (p, q) -summand is left adjoint to the identity map $S^p \wedge S^q \rightarrow S^n$, viewed as a $(\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q \rightarrow \Sigma_n)$ -equivariant map.

Key Lemma. (S, m, e) is a commutative monoid in \mathcal{S}_*^Σ .

Commutativity is the assertion that $m = m\tau: S \otimes S \rightarrow S$.

((Also discuss function objects.))

I.4. Symmetric spectra.

By definition, a *symmetric spectrum* is a left S -module in the category of symmetric sequences. In other words, it is a symmetric sequence X together with a map $S \otimes X \rightarrow X$ that is associative and unital. We write $Sp^\Sigma = S\text{-Mod}$ for the category of symmetric spectra.

Equivalently, a symmetric spectrum is a symmetric sequence X together with structure maps

$$\sigma: S^1 \wedge X_n \rightarrow X_{1+n}$$

for each $n \geq 0$, such that the m -fold composite map

$$\sigma^m : S^m \wedge X_n \rightarrow X_{m+n}$$

is $(\Sigma_m \times \Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_{m+n})$ -equivariant, for each $m, n \geq 0$. Here Σ_m acts on $S^m = S^m$, Σ_n acts on X_n , Σ_{m+n} acts on X_{m+n} , and $\Sigma_m \times \Sigma_n \rightarrow \Sigma_{m+n}$ is the standard inclusion.

To see this, note that the map $S \otimes X \rightarrow X$ is a sequence of Σ_n -equivariant maps

$$\bigvee_{p+q=n} \Sigma_{n+} \wedge_{\Sigma_p \wedge \Sigma_q} S^p \wedge X_q \rightarrow X_n$$

for $n \geq 0$, which is equivalent to a sequence of $(\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q \rightarrow \Sigma_{p+q})$ -equivariant maps $S^p \wedge X_q \rightarrow X_{p+q}$, for $p, q \geq 0$. The associativity condition satisfied by the module action ensures that it is enough to specify these maps for $p = 1$, i.e., to give the structure maps σ .

I.5. The smash product.

Recall that for a commutative ring R , the tensor product \otimes of abelian groups gives rise to an internal tensor product \otimes_R of left R -modules, given by the coequalizer diagram

$$M \otimes R \otimes N \rightrightarrows M \otimes N \longrightarrow M \otimes_R N.$$

Here M and N are left R -modules, but by commutativity M may also be regarded as a right R -module, and the two maps on the left are derived from the module actions $M \otimes R \rightarrow M$ and $R \otimes N \rightarrow N$, respectively. By commutativity, again, the left R -module action on M also induces one on the coequalizer $M \otimes_R N$.

Since S is a commutative monoid in symmetric sequences, the category $Sp^\Sigma = S\text{-Mod}$ can be given an internal pairing \otimes_S in the same way, which we prefer to denote by \wedge . So for two symmetric spectra X and Y , we define their *smash product* $X \wedge Y$ by the coequalizer diagram

$$X \otimes S \otimes Y \rightrightarrows X \otimes Y \longrightarrow X \wedge Y.$$

In other words, $X \wedge Y$ is the colimit in symmetric sequences of the left hand part of the diagram, and this limit can be formed level-wise as the colimit of the diagram

$$\bigvee_{i+j+k=n} \Sigma_{n+} \wedge_{\Sigma_i \times \Sigma_j \times \Sigma_k} X_i \wedge S^j \wedge Y_k \rightrightarrows \bigvee_{p+q=n} \Sigma_{n+} \wedge_{\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q} X_p \wedge Y_q$$

of pointed Σ_n -spaces. As in the algebraic case, the resulting symmetric sequence $X \wedge Y$ is naturally a symmetric spectrum.

The smash product of symmetric spectra is coherently associative and unital, having the sphere spectrum S as a unit. The twist isomorphism of symmetric sequences also induces a twist isomorphism $\tau : X \wedge Y \xrightarrow{\cong} Y \wedge X$ of symmetric spectra.

Proposition. (Sp^Σ, \wedge, S) is a symmetric monoidal category.

((Also discuss function spectra.))

I.6. Algebraic structures.

A *symmetric ring spectrum* is a symmetric spectrum R with a unit map $e: S \rightarrow R$ and a multiplication map $m: R \wedge R \rightarrow R$, making the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (R \wedge R) \wedge R & \xrightarrow{\cong} & R \wedge (R \wedge R) \\ m \wedge id \downarrow & & \downarrow id \wedge m \\ R \wedge R & \xrightarrow{m} & R \xleftarrow{m} R \wedge R \end{array}$$

(associativity) and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S \wedge R & \xrightarrow{e \wedge id} & R \wedge R & \xleftarrow{id \wedge e} & R \wedge S \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow m & \swarrow \cong & \\ & & R & & \end{array}$$

(unitality) commute. If furthermore the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R \wedge R & \xrightarrow{\tau} & R \wedge R \\ & \searrow m & \swarrow m \\ & & R \end{array}$$

(commutativity) commutes, then R is a *commutative symmetric ring spectrum*.

Let R be a symmetric ring spectrum. A left R -module is a symmetric spectrum M with a map $R \wedge M \rightarrow M$, such that the usual associativity and unitality diagrams commute. Similarly for right modules. We sometimes refer to R -modules as R -module spectra, for emphasis. Let $R\text{-Mod}$ denote the category of R -modules and R -module maps.

If M is a right R -module and N is a left R -module, the smash product $M \wedge_R N$ is defined as the coequalizer

$$M \wedge R \wedge N \rightrightarrows M \wedge N \longrightarrow M \wedge_R N$$

in symmetric spectra.

If R is commutative (so that the distinction between left and right R -modules disappears), then $M \wedge_R N$ is also naturally an R -module. In this case, $(R\text{-Mod}, \wedge_R, R)$ is a symmetric monoidal category. An R -algebra A is a monoid in $R\text{-Mod}$. This amounts to a map $R \rightarrow A$ of symmetric ring spectra, such that R is central in A . A *commutative R -algebra* A is a commutative monoid in $R\text{-Mod}$. This amounts to a map $R \rightarrow A$ of commutative symmetric ring spectra.

I.7. Homotopy and homology.

There is a *stable model structure* on symmetric spectra, whose associated homotopy category $Ho(Sp^\Sigma)$ is equivalent to Boardman's stable homotopy category. Furthermore, the smash product of symmetric spectra induces a symmetric monoidal pairing on $Ho(Sp^\Sigma)$ that agrees with the smash product in Boardman's category, under the claimed equivalence.

The k -th homotopy group of a symmetric spectrum X is defined as the sequential colimit

$$\pi_k(X) = \operatorname{colim}_n \pi_{n+k}(X_n)$$

over the composite maps

$$\pi_{n+k}(X_n) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{1+n+k}(S^1 \wedge X_n) \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} \pi_{1+n+k}(X_{n+1}).$$

Taken together, these form a graded abelian group $\pi_*(X)$. The action by the symmetric groups plays no role here, so these are the homotopy groups of the underlying sequential spectrum of X . For a symmetric ring spectrum R , $\pi_*(R)$ is a graded ring. For a commutative symmetric ring spectrum R , $\pi_*(R)$ is a graded commutative ring.

A map of symmetric spectra $X \rightarrow Y$ that induces an isomorphism $\pi_*(X) \rightarrow \pi_*(Y)$ is called a π_* -equivalence. Each π_* -equivalence is a weak equivalence in the stable model structure on $S\mathcal{P}^\Sigma$, i.e., a stable equivalence, but the converse does **not** generally hold.

The stably fibrant symmetric spectra are the symmetric Ω -spectra, i.e., those X for which each X_n is a Kan (= fibrant) simplicial set, and each adjoint structure map $\tilde{\sigma}: X_n \rightarrow \Omega X_{n+1} = \underline{S}_*(S^1, X_{n+1})$ is a weak equivalence. For maps $X \rightarrow Y$ between symmetric Ω -spectra, the stable equivalences are the same as the π_* -equivalences.

((Discuss orthogonal spectra. For symmetric spectra coming from orthogonal spectra, stable equivalences and π_* -equivalences agree. So for these, the homotopy groups are the homotopy invariant ones.))

I.8. The sphere spectrum.

The sphere spectrum S is a commutative symmetric ring spectrum, with the unit map $e: S \rightarrow S$ being the identity and the multiplication map $m: S \wedge S \rightarrow S$ being the natural isomorphism.

An S -algebra is the same as a symmetric ring spectrum, and a commutative S -algebra is the same as a commutative symmetric ring spectrum.

The homotopy groups $\pi_*(S) = \pi_*^S$ are the stable homotopy groups of spheres.

I.9 Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectra.

Let A be an abelian group. For each set U let $A[U] = \bigoplus_{u \in U} A$, and for each pointed set U let $A(U) = A[U]/A[*]$, where $*$ is the base point in U . This construction is natural in U , so that each pointed function $f: U \rightarrow V$ induces an abelian group homomorphism $A(f): A(U) \rightarrow A(V)$. By forgetting structure, we can view $A(f)$ as a function of pointed sets.

For each simplicial set W_\bullet , let $A(W_\bullet)$ be the pointed simplicial set $[q] \mapsto A(W_q)$. This makes sense by the naturality property just stated.

For example, when $W_\bullet = S^1 = \Delta^1/\partial\Delta^1$, Δ_q^1 consists of the $(q+2)$ morphisms $[q] \rightarrow [1]$ in Δ , so $W_q = S_q^1$ consists of the q surjective morphisms $[q] \rightarrow [1]$, together with the base point. Hence $A(S_q^1) = A \oplus \cdots \oplus A = A^q$, and $A(S^1) = BA$ is the *bar construction* on A . We usually write $[a_1 | \dots | a_q]$ for an element of $A(S_q^1) = BA_q$.

Iterating n times, the *Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectrum* HA is defined as the symmetric spectrum with n -th space $HA_n = A(S^n) = B^n A$, where Σ_n permutes the smash factors in $S^n = S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1$, or equivalently, the ordering of the n bar constructions. The structure map $\sigma: S^1 \wedge HA_n \rightarrow HA_{1+n}$ is given in degree q by the inclusion $S_q^1 \wedge A(S_q^n) \rightarrow A(S_q^{1+n})$.

The n -fold bar construction $HA_n = B^n A$ is a $K(A, n)$ -complex, with homotopy groups $\pi_{n+k} B^n A = A$ for $k = 0$ and $= 0$ for $k \neq 0$. Being a simplicial (abelian) group, it is a Kan simplicial set. Furthermore the adjoint structure map $\tilde{\sigma}: HA_n \rightarrow \Omega HA_{1+n}$ equals the weak homotopy equivalence $B^n A \rightarrow \Omega B^{1+n} A$, so HA is a

symmetric Ω -spectrum (= stably fibrant). Hence the homotopy groups $\pi_k HA = A$ for $k = 0$ and $= 0$ for $k \neq 0$ are homotopically significant.

Let R be an associative ring. There is a natural multiplication map $m: HR \wedge HR \rightarrow HR$ and unit map $e: S \rightarrow HR$ that make HR a symmetric ring spectrum, alias an S -algebra. The multiplication map is derived from a map $HR \otimes HR \rightarrow HR$ of symmetric sequences, which at the n -th level is a wedge sum over (p, q) with $p + q = n$ of Σ_n -equivariant maps. These are left adjoint to the $(\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q \rightarrow \Sigma_n)$ -equivariant maps

$$R(S^p) \wedge R(S^q) \rightarrow R(S^p \wedge S^q) = R(S^n)$$

given by

$$\sum_i r_i x_i \wedge \sum_j r'_j y_j \mapsto \sum_{i,j} r_i r'_j (x_i \wedge y_j)$$

where $r_i, r'_j \in R$, the x_i are simplices in S^p and the y_j are simplices in S^q . The product $r_i r'_j$ is formed in the ring R .

The opposite multiplication, $m\tau: HR \wedge HR \rightarrow HR$ is likewise derived from the map given by

$$\sum_i r_i x_i \wedge \sum_j r'_j y_j \mapsto \rho\left(\sum_{i,j} r'_j r_i (y_j \wedge x_i)\right) = \sum_{i,j} r'_j r_i (x_i \wedge y_j).$$

Hence HR is a commutative symmetric ring spectrum if and only if R is a commutative ring.

The Eilenberg–Mac Lane functor $A \mapsto HA$ embeds abelian groups into symmetric spectra, rings into symmetric ring spectra, and more generally embeds algebra into topology in the form of stable homotopy theory.

Proposition. *Let R be a ring, M a right R -module and N a left R -module. Then HM is a left HR -module, HN is a left HR -module, and*

$$\pi_i(HM \wedge_{HR} HN) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M, N).$$

((Similarly, $\pi_i F_{HR}(HM, HN) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^{-i}(M, N)$ for two left R -modules M and N , where F_{HR} denotes the HR -module function spectrum.))

I.10 Thom spectra.

To any Euclidean \mathbb{R}^n -bundle $\xi: E \rightarrow X$, with principal $O(n)$ -bundle $P \rightarrow X$, we can associate the Thom complex $Th(\xi) = P_+ \wedge_{O(n)} S^n$. Now $S^n = S^{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$ as a pointed topological space, still with $S^n \cong S^1 \wedge \dots \wedge S^1$.

((Universal case γ^n with principal bundle $EO(n) \rightarrow BO(n)$.)

((See also Chapter V.))

II. THH: STRUCTURAL PROPERTIES (OCTOBER 3RD 2006)

Recall the Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem: for a smooth algebra A over a commutative ring k , the Hochschild homology $HH_*(A)$ is isomorphic to the exterior algebra Ω_A^* of differential forms on A , generated by the Kähler differentials $HH_1(A) \cong \Omega_A^1$. Connes' B -operator $HH_n(A) \rightarrow HH_{n+1}(A)$ then corresponds to the exterior derivation $d: \Omega_A^n \rightarrow \Omega_A^{n+1}$. For commutative, non-smooth A there is still a map $\Omega_A^* \rightarrow HH_*(A)$, and the Hochschild homology can even be defined for

non-commutative A . It is therefore common to interpret $HH_*(A)$, with Connes' operator, as a kind of non-commutative de Rham complex over A .

We will study the extension of this de Rham theory to brave new rings, i.e., to associative S -algebras, where S is the sphere spectrum. To be concrete, let B be a symmetric ring spectrum. Its topological Hochschild homology, denoted $THH(B)$, can be defined in several equivalent ways. We begin with one that is rather explicit.

II.1. The Hochschild complex.

Definition. Let $THH(B)_\bullet$ be the simplicial symmetric spectrum

$$[q] \mapsto THH(B)_q = B \wedge B \wedge \cdots \wedge B$$

$((q+1)$ copies of B), with face maps

$$d_i(b_0 \wedge b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_q) = \begin{cases} b_q b_0 \wedge b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{q-1} & \text{for } i = 0, \\ b_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_i b_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_q & \text{for } 0 < i \leq q, \end{cases}$$

and degeneracy maps

$$s_j(b_0 \wedge b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_q) = b_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_j \wedge 1 \wedge b_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_q$$

for $0 \leq j \leq q$. Let

$$THH(B) = |THH(B)_\bullet|$$

be the geometric realization.

These formulas must be interpreted in terms of the product and unit maps $\mu: B \wedge B \rightarrow B$ and $\eta: S \rightarrow B$, and the cyclic twist map $\tau: B^{\wedge(q+1)} \rightarrow B^{\wedge(q+1)}$. Note that $1 \wedge b_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_q$ is not degenerate, unless some $b_j = 1$.

The explicit Hochschild complex can also be interpreted as the homology of B as a B -bimodule (= left $B \wedge B^{op}$ -module):

$$THH(B) = \mathrm{Tor}^{B \wedge B^{op}}(B, B) := B \wedge_{B \wedge B^{op}} B.$$

If B is resolved as a B -bimodule by the two-sided bar complex

$$\beta(B, B, B)_\bullet: [q] \mapsto B \wedge B \wedge \cdots \wedge B \wedge B$$

$((q+2)$ copies of B), then $THH(B)_\bullet = B \wedge_{B \wedge B^{op}} \beta(B, B, B)_\bullet$.

II.2. Cyclic structure.

The simplicial construction admits the refined structure of being a cyclic object.

Definition. $THH(B)_\bullet$ is a cyclic symmetric spectrum, with cyclic twist operators

$$t_q(b_0 \wedge b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_q) = b_q \wedge b_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{q-1}.$$

Hence $THH(B)$ naturally admits a left S^1 -action

$$\alpha: S^1_+ \wedge THH(B) \rightarrow THH(B).$$

For example, the S^1 -action takes a 0-simplex $b \in THH(B)_0$ once around the geometric realization of the 1-simplex $t_1 s_0(b) = 1 \wedge b$.

The inclusion of 0-simplices defines a map $\eta: B \rightarrow THH(B)$. When combined with the S^1 -action, we obtain a map

$$\omega: S_+^1 \wedge B \rightarrow THH(B).$$

The retraction $S_+^1 \rightarrow 1_+$ in the cofiber sequence

$$1_+ \rightarrow S_+^1 \rightarrow S^1$$

defines a preferred stable section $\sigma: S^1 \rightarrow S_+^1$. Combined with the S^1 -action on $THH(B)$, it defines a stable map $\alpha(\sigma \wedge id)$ that we denote

$$d: \Sigma THH(B) \rightarrow THH(B).$$

((The author usually writes σ in place of d for this map, called the suspension operator.)) In terms of this preferred splitting of $S_+^1 \wedge THH(B)$, we can write α as (id, d) .

As observed by Hesselholt (1996, 1.4.4), the iterated map $dd: \Sigma^2 THH(B) \rightarrow THH(B)$ satisfies

$$dd = d\eta = \eta d,$$

where now $\eta \in \pi_1(S)$ denotes the stable Hopf map. For the composite stable map

$$S^1 \wedge S^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma \wedge \sigma} S_+^1 \wedge S_+^1 \cong (S^1 \times S^1)_+ \xrightarrow{m_+} S_+^1$$

factors as the stable map

$$S^1 \wedge S^1 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma} S_+^1,$$

where η arises (after one suspension) as the Hopf construction on the multiplication $m: S^1 \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$. See [Ha61] for details. Thus dd is null-homotopic whenever η acts trivially on $THH(B)$, up to homotopy.

II.3. Tensor structure.

When B is commutative, there is a more concise definition

$$THH(B)_\bullet = B \otimes S_\bullet^1,$$

where $S_\bullet^1 = \Delta^1 / \partial \Delta^1$ is the simplicial circle, with $(q+1)$ simplices in degree q .

The tensor product $B \otimes X_\bullet$ for simplicial sets X_\bullet is defined by prolongation of the smash power

$$B \otimes Y = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} B,$$

defined for (finite) sets Y . The two-sided bar construction can then be written as $\beta(B, B, B)_\bullet = B \otimes \Delta^1$, where Δ^1 has $(q+2)$ simplices in degree q .

The topological formula $THH(B) = B \otimes S^1$ also exhibits the S^1 -action α , by rotation in the S^1 -term. Since these constructions take place in the category of commutative symmetric ring spectra, the unit map

$$\eta: B = B \otimes 1 \rightarrow B \otimes S^1 = THH(B)$$

makes $THH(B)$ a commutative B -algebra. The collapse map $S^1 \rightarrow 1$ induces an augmentation

$$\epsilon: THH(B) = B \otimes S^1 \rightarrow B \otimes 1 = B.$$

The pinch map $S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \vee S^1$, the fold map $S^1 \vee S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ and the flip map $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ induce maps

$$\begin{aligned} \psi: THH(B) &\rightarrow THH(B) \wedge_B THH(B) \\ \phi: THH(B) \wedge_B THH(B) &\rightarrow THH(B) \\ \chi: THH(B) &\rightarrow THH(B) \end{aligned}$$

that make $THH(B)$ a commutative Hopf algebra over B .

Note that ψ takes values in the smash product over B , not in the smash product over S . Furthermore, it is only A_∞ coassociative and counital. Similarly, χ is only a homotopy inverse.

II.4. E_n -algebras.

Intermediate between strictly associative ring spectra and strictly commutative ring spectra, we have the notion of an E_n ring spectrum, which comes with an action of an operad weakly equivalent to the little n -cubes operad. The E_1 ring spectra are the same as A_∞ ring spectra, which can be rigidified to strictly associative ring spectra, while the E_∞ ring spectra can be rigidified to strictly commutative ring spectra. By restriction of the operad action, an E_{n+1} ring spectrum is in particular an E_n ring spectrum.

Fiedorowicz and Vogt show that an E_{n+1} ring spectrum B can be rigidified to an E_n algebra in strictly associative ring spectra, i.e., to a strictly associative ring spectrum with an action by an E_n operad, in that category. This E_n operad action carries over for topological functors from strictly associative ring spectra to other categories. Basterra and Mandell have announced similar results.

For example, THH is a functor from symmetric ring spectra to symmetric spectra with S^1 -action. Hence THH of any E_{n+1} ring spectrum B produces an E_n algebra in symmetric spectra with S^1 -action. In other words, $THH(B)$ is then an E_n ring spectrum with S^1 -action. Similarly, the natural map $\eta: B \rightarrow THH(B)$ is then an E_n ring spectrum map.

For $n = \infty$, this recovers the fact that THH of a commutative symmetric ring spectrum B is again a commutative B -algebra. However, there are natural examples of spectra that admit an E_n ring spectrum structure for some finite $n \geq 2$, that are not known to admit an E_∞ structure.

II.5. Many objects.

There is also a more flexible definition, in terms of the category of finite cell B -modules, enriched in symmetric spectra.

Definition. Let \mathcal{C} be a small category enriched in symmetric spectra. Its topological Hochschild homology $THH(\mathcal{C})$ is the geometric realization of the cyclic symmetric spectrum

$$THH(\mathcal{C})_q = \bigvee_{c_0, \dots, c_q \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}(c_0, c_q) \wedge \mathcal{C}(c_1, c_0) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{C}(c_q, c_{q-1}),$$

with simplicial face and degeneracy operators, and cyclic operators, suggested by composition, identity and twist in the diagram

$$c_0 \xleftarrow{\quad} c_1 \xleftarrow{\quad} \cdots \xleftarrow{\quad} c_q$$

When \mathcal{C} is the enriched category with only one object $*$, and $\mathcal{C}(*, *) = B$, then this definition simplifies to the original definition of $THH(B)$.

For another example, let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}_B$ be the category of finitely generated free B -modules, with objects $\bigvee^n B = B \wedge n_+$ for $n \geq 0$, and morphisms

$$\mathcal{F}_B(\bigvee^m B, \bigvee^n B) = \prod_m^n \bigvee B$$

as symmetric spectra. The previous example embeds into this one, taking $*$ to the free B -module of rank 1, and the induced map

$$THH(B) \rightarrow THH(\mathcal{F}_B)$$

is an equivalence. See Dundas et al, Lemma 2.5.17, for the proof when B is connective. We refer to this equivalence as Morita equivalence.

Presumably, letting $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_B$ be the category of finite cell B -modules and B -module maps, the inclusion $\mathcal{F}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_B$ also induces an equivalence

$$THH(\mathcal{F}_B) \rightarrow THH(\mathcal{C}_B).$$

((Find a reference.))

It may also be useful to work with $THH(\mathcal{C})$, where \mathcal{C} is a category of coherent crystals over B .

II.6. Étale maps.

Let A be a commutative S -algebra, and let B be an A -algebra, commutative or not. We can define the relative topological Hochschild homology $THH^A(B)$ as the geometric realization of the simplicial spectrum

$$[q] \mapsto THH^A(B)_q = B \wedge_A B \wedge_A \cdots \wedge_A B.$$

There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longrightarrow & THH(A) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & THH(B) & \longrightarrow & THH^A(B). \end{array}$$

By assumption, A is central in B , so $THH(B)$ is a $THH(A)$ -module. The induced map

$$THH(B) \wedge_{THH(A)} A \rightarrow THH^A(B)$$

is an equivalence, so the left hand side may also be taken as the definition of the relative topological Hochschild homology. ((Check this for non-commutative B !)) If B is commutative, this map is an equivalence of commutative B -algebras.

Definition. We say that $A \rightarrow B$ is formally thh-étale if the relative unit map $\eta: B \rightarrow THH^A(B)$ is an equivalence.

In that case, the space of associative A -algebra derivations of B with values in any symmetric bimodule M is contractible, and conversely. This uses the cofiber sequence

$$B \wedge_{B \wedge_A B^{op}} I_{B/A} \rightarrow B \rightarrow THH^A(B)$$

and the equivalences

$$\mathcal{A}Der_A(B, M) \simeq \mathcal{M}_{B \wedge_A B^{op}}(I_{B/A}, M) \simeq \mathcal{M}_B(B \wedge_{B \wedge_A B^{op}} I_{B/A}, M)$$

for symmetric B -modules M . See Lazarev (2001) and Rognes (Galois, Proposition 9.2.5). Here $I_{B/A}$ is the homotopy fiber of $B \wedge_A B \rightarrow B$.

II.7. Quasi-coherence.

Lemma. *If the natural map*

$$B \wedge_A THH(A) \rightarrow THH(B)$$

is a weak equivalence, then $A \rightarrow B$ is formally thh-étale.

Conversely, if $A \rightarrow B$ is formally thh-étale and either (1) $\epsilon: THH(A) \rightarrow A$ is faithful, (2) A and B are connective, or (3) $A \rightarrow B$ is separable, then $B \wedge_A THH(A) \rightarrow THH(B)$ is a weak equivalence.

Proof. If the induced map

$$B \wedge_A THH(A) \rightarrow THH(B)$$

is an equivalence, then $A \rightarrow B$ is formally thh-étale, by base change along ϵ .

Conversely, if we assume that $\epsilon: THH(A) \rightarrow A$ is faithful, then $B \wedge_A THH(A) \rightarrow THH(B)$ is an equivalence for all formally étale $A \rightarrow B$, so that THH is a quasi-coherent étale sheaf over A .

Similarly, if A and B are connective, and $A \rightarrow B$ is formally étale, then $B \wedge_A THH(A) \rightarrow THH(B)$ is an equivalence. For its cofiber C is then connective and satisfies $C \wedge_{THH(A)} A \simeq *$, which implies $C \simeq *$ since $\pi_0 THH(A) \cong \pi_0 A$. These hypotheses are most relevant when $A = HR$ and $B = HT$ are both ordinary rings, with $R \rightarrow T$ (formally) étale, when they show that THH is a quasi-coherent étale sheaf over $A = HR$.

Along the same lines, there are equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} B \wedge_A THH(A) &= B \wedge_A A \wedge_{A \wedge_A} A \simeq B \wedge_{A \wedge_A} A \\ &\cong A \wedge_{A \wedge_A} B \simeq (B \wedge_A B^{op}) \wedge_{B \wedge_A B^{op}} B = THH(B, B \wedge_A B), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to $THH(B)$ if $THH(B, I_{B/A}) \simeq *$. This condition holds if $A \rightarrow B$ is separable, so that $\mu: B \wedge_A B \rightarrow B$ admits a bimodule section σ , and the resulting idempotent $\delta = \sigma\mu$ in $\pi_0(B \wedge_A B^{op}) = B_0^A(B)$ lifts to $\pi_0(B \wedge_A B^{op}) = B_0(B)$. For then $B \simeq B[\delta^{-1}]$ as a $B \wedge_A B^{op}$ -module, while $I_{B/A}[\delta^{-1}] \simeq *$. Compare Geller and Weibel (1991). \square

((In what generality is $B_0^A(B) \rightarrow B_0(B)$ surjective on idempotents?))

II.8. Galois descent (October 24th 2006).

Lemma. *If $A \rightarrow B$ is a faithful G -Galois extension, with G finite, then*

$$i: THH(A) \rightarrow THH(B)^{hG}$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. It suffices to show that $1 \wedge i: B \wedge_A THH(A) \rightarrow B \wedge_A THH(B)^{hG}$ is a weak equivalence, since B is faithful over A . To see this, we factor $1 \wedge i$ as the following chain of weak equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} B \wedge_A THH(B)^{hG} &\rightarrow (B \wedge_A THH(B))^{hG} \\ &\leftarrow (B \wedge_A B \wedge_A THH(A))^{hG} \\ &\rightarrow (F(G_+, B) \wedge_A THH(A))^{hG} \\ &\rightarrow F(G_+, B \wedge_A THH(A))^{hG} \simeq B \wedge_A THH(A) \end{aligned}$$

These use that B is dualizable over A , B is separable over A , B is Galois over A and G is finite, respectively. \square

Are there similar quasi-coherence (resp. Galois descent) results for relative theories $THH(A|K)$ and $THH(B|L)$ (to be defined later), under weaker hypotheses (tame ramification, resp. something more general) on $A \rightarrow B$?

REFERENCES

- [Ha61] Hardie, K.A., *A generalization of the Hopf construction*, Q. J. Math., Oxf. II. Ser. **12** (1961), 196–204.

III. THH: CALCULATIONS (OCTOBER 10TH 2006)

To make calculations, we compare the spectrum level structures with the induced structures at the level of homotopy and homology, usually with mod p coefficients.

III.1. Homotopy.

For spectra X and Y we write $[X, Y]$ for the abelian group of maps from X to Y in the stable homotopy category. More generally, $[X, Y]_n = [\Sigma^n X, Y]$ is the abelian group of degree n maps, and $[X, Y]_*$ is the resulting graded abelian group.

The homotopy groups of a spectrum X are defined by

$$\pi_*(X) = [S, X]_*.$$

The stable homotopy groups of spheres is the graded commutative ring

$$\pi_*(S) = [S, S]_* ,$$

which naturally acts on $\pi_*(X)$ by composition:

$$\pi_*(S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \pi_*(X) = [S, S]_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} [S, X]_* \xrightarrow{\circ} [S, X]_* = \pi_*(X).$$

However, the groups $\pi_*(S)$ are mostly unknown, and its homological properties are terrible, so it is usually not convenient to work with the $\pi_*(S)$ -module $\pi_*(X)$ as an algebraic invariant of X .

III.2. Homology.

Let p be a prime, and write $H\mathbb{F}_p$ for the mod p Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectrum. It is a commutative S -algebra, with unit $\eta: S \rightarrow H\mathbb{F}_p$ and product $\mu: H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge H\mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow H\mathbb{F}_p$. We have graded \mathbb{F}_p -modules

$$H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) = \pi_*(H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge X)$$

and

$$H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) = [X, H\mathbb{F}_p]_{-*}$$

for all spectra X . There are universal coefficient and Künneth isomorphisms

$$H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \text{Hom}(H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p), \mathbb{F}_p)$$

and

$$H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes H_*(Y; \mathbb{F}_p) \cong H_*(X \wedge Y; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

for all spectra X and Y , with Hom and \otimes formed over \mathbb{F}_p . These extend the usual results for the reduced mod p (co-)homology of based spaces.

The mod p Steenrod algebra is the non-commutative algebra

$$A = H^*(H\mathbb{F}_p; \mathbb{F}_p) = [H\mathbb{F}_p, H\mathbb{F}_p]_{-*}$$

of stable cohomology operations, with multiplication ϕ defined by composition. It admits a cocommutative coproduct, and the dual Steenrod algebra

$$A_* = H_*(H\mathbb{F}_p; \mathbb{F}_p) = \pi_*(H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge H\mathbb{F}_p)$$

is the Hom-dual commutative algebra, with a non-cocommutative coproduct ψ . In other words, A and A_* are dual Hopf algebras. The (canonical) coproduct χ on A_* is induced by the twist map $\tau: H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge H\mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge H\mathbb{F}_p$.

For each spectrum X , composition of stable maps defines a homomorphism

$$A \otimes H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) = [H\mathbb{F}_p, H\mathbb{F}_p]_{-*} \otimes [X, H\mathbb{F}_p]_{-*} \xrightarrow{\circ} [X, H\mathbb{F}_p]_{-*} = H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

that makes $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ a left A -module. In the special case $X = H\mathbb{F}_p$, this recovers the product ϕ . Dually, for each spectrum X the natural map

$$X \cong S \wedge X \xrightarrow{\eta \wedge 1} H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge X$$

induces a homomorphism

$$\nu: H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_*(H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge X; \mathbb{F}_p) \cong A_* \otimes H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p).$$

In the special case $X = H\mathbb{F}_p$, this is the coproduct $\psi: A_* \rightarrow A_* \otimes A_*$. In general, the coaction map ν makes $H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ into an A_* -comodule.

For spectra X of finite type, for which $H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is finite in each degree, we can go back and forth between the A -module $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ and the A_* -comodule $H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$, via Hom-duality. However, when $H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ not finite in each degree, it is better to work in homology. We therefore will view mod p homology as a functor from spectra to A_* -comodules. This covariant point of view is also more convenient when discussing algebra structures, etc. ((Explain A_* -comodule structure on tensor products and Hom-duals?))

To go back from homology to homotopy we can then use the Adams spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_p, H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)) \implies \pi_{t-s}(X_p).$$

When X is of finite type, $\pi_*(X_p) \cong \pi_*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$, otherwise the p -completion is more subtle.

III.3. The dual Steenrod algebra.

The structure of the dual Steenrod algebra was described by Milnor. For p odd, we have

$$A_* = P(\xi_k \mid k \geq 1) \otimes E(\tau_k \mid k \geq 0)$$

where $|\xi_k| = 2p^k - 2$ and $|\tau_k| = 2p^k - 1$. Here $P(-)$ denotes the polynomial algebra over \mathbb{F}_p on the listed generators, and $E(-)$ denotes the exterior algebra over \mathbb{F}_p . The coproduct is given by the formulas

$$\psi(\xi_k) = \sum_{i+j=k} \xi_i^{p^j} \otimes \xi_j$$

and

$$\psi(\tau_k) = \tau_k \otimes 1 + \sum_{i+j=k} \xi_i^{p^j} \otimes \tau_j.$$

In all such formulas, ξ_0 is to be read as 1. The class τ_0 is dual to the Bockstein operation β , while ξ_1^k is dual to the Steenrod reduced power operation P^k .

((Discuss relation to $H_*(K(\mathbb{F}_p, 1); \mathbb{F}_p)$.)

It will be more convenient for us to work with the conjugate generators $\bar{\xi}_k = \chi\xi_k$ and $\bar{\tau}_k = \chi\tau_k$. We still have

$$A_* = P(\bar{\xi}_k \mid k \geq 1) \otimes E(\bar{\tau}_k \mid k \geq 0)$$

with $|\bar{\xi}_k| = 2p^k - 2$ and $|\bar{\tau}_k| = 2p^k - 1$, but

$$\psi(\bar{\xi}_k) = \sum_{i+j=k} \bar{\xi}_i \otimes \bar{\xi}_j^{p^i}$$

and

$$\psi(\bar{\tau}_k) = 1 \otimes \bar{\tau}_k + \sum_{i+j=k} \bar{\tau}_i \otimes \bar{\xi}_j^{p^i}.$$

As before, $\bar{\xi}_0$ is to be read as 1.

((Include formulas for $p = 2$, too.)

III.4. Homology of THH.

Let B be a symmetric ring spectrum. The 0-simplex inclusion $\eta: B \rightarrow THH(B)$ and the circle action $\alpha: S_+^1 \wedge THH(B) \rightarrow THH(B)$ induce A_* -comodule homomorphisms $\eta: H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p)$ and

$$\alpha: E(s_1) \otimes H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p),$$

respectively. Here $H_*(S_+^1; \mathbb{F}_p) = E(s_1)$, with s_1 in homological degree 1. The stable map $d: \Sigma THH(B) \rightarrow THH(B)$ induces the A_* -comodule homomorphism

$$d: H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p)$$

given by $d(x) = \alpha(s_1 \otimes x)$.

The Hopf map $\eta: S^1 \rightarrow S^0$ induces the zero map on homology, so $dd = 0$ at the level of homology, i.e., d is a differential on $H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p)$.

The adjoint map $\tilde{\alpha}: THH(B) \rightarrow F(S_+^1, THH(B))$ to the circle action, and the canonical stable equivalence $\nu: DS_+^1 \wedge THH(B) \rightarrow F(S_+^1, THH(B))$, induce an A_* -comodule homomorphism

$$\nu^{-1}\tilde{\alpha}: H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow E(\iota_1) \otimes H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p)$$

given by

$$(\nu^{-1}\tilde{\alpha})(x) = 1 \otimes x + \iota_1 \otimes dx.$$

Here $DS_+^1 = F(S_+^1, S)$ denotes the functional dual, and

$$H_*(DS_+^1; \mathbb{F}_p) \cong H^{-*}(S^1; \mathbb{F}_p) = E(\iota_1),$$

with ι_1 in homological degree (-1) , dual to s_1 .

If B is a commutative symmetric ring spectrum, then $\tilde{\alpha}$ and ν are commutative ring spectrum maps. Here we give $F(S_+^1, THH(B))$ and $DS_+^1 = F(S_+^1, S)$ the pointwise multiplications, induced from the products on $THH(B)$ and S , respectively, and the diagonal coproduct on S_+^1 . It follows that $\nu^{-1}\tilde{\alpha}$ is a $H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$ -algebra homomorphism, so

$$(\nu^{-1}\tilde{\alpha})(xy) = 1 \otimes xy + \iota_1 \otimes d(xy)$$

equals

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu^{-1}\tilde{\alpha})(x) \cdot (\nu^{-1}\tilde{\alpha})(y) &= (1 \otimes x + \iota_1 \otimes dx) \cdot (1 \otimes y + \iota_1 \otimes dy) \\ &= 1 \otimes xy + \iota_1 \otimes (dx \cdot y + (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot dy). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$d(xy) = dx \cdot y + (-1)^{|x|} x \cdot dy,$$

and d acts as a derivation on $H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p)$.

III.5. The Bökstedt spectral sequence.

Proposition. *Let B be a symmetric ring spectrum. (a) The skeleton filtration of $THH(B)$ induces a spectral sequence of A_* -comodules, with*

$$E_{q,*}^1(B) = H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \bar{H}_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{H}_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

(with q copies of $\bar{H}_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p) = \text{coker}(\eta_*: \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p))$) and

$$E_{q,*}^2(B) = HH_q(H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)),$$

converging strongly to $H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p)$.

(b) The edge homomorphism

$$E_{0,*}^1(B) = H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow HH_0(H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)) = E_{0,*}^2(B) \rightarrow H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p)$$

equals the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $\eta: B \rightarrow THH(B)$ of 0-simplices.

(c) Connes' operator

$$E_{q,*}^2(B) = HH_q(H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow HH_{q+1}(H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)) = E_{q+1,*}^2(B)$$

abuts to the homomorphism $d: H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p)$ induced by the map $d: \Sigma THH(B) \rightarrow THH(B)$.

Proof. This is the spectral sequence associated to the unraveled exact couple of A_* -comodules obtained by applying $H_*(-; \mathbb{F}_p)$ to the cofiber sequences

$$|sk_{q-1}THH(B)| \rightarrow |sk_qTHH(B)| \rightarrow S^q \wedge THH(B)_q^{nd},$$

where $THH(B)_q^{nd} = B \wedge \bar{B} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{B}$ models the non-degenerate q -simplices. Here \bar{B} denotes the cofiber of $\eta: S \rightarrow B$, which we implicitly take to be a cofibration. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E_{q,*}^1(B) &= H_{q+*}(S^q \wedge THH(B)_q^{nd}; \mathbb{F}_p) \\ &\cong H_*(B \wedge \bar{B} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{B}; \mathbb{F}_p) \\ &\cong H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \bar{H}_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{H}_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p). \end{aligned}$$

By a standard inspection, the d^1 -differential is induced by the alternating sum of the simplicial face maps, hence recovers the boundary operator in the normalized Hochschild complex for $H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$. ((Reference to Segal?)) Thus the E^2 -term is given by the homology of that complex, i.e., by the indicated Hochschild homology groups.

((Circle action, edge homomorphism.)) \square

When B is commutative, the Bökstedt spectral sequence reflects the multiplicative structure on $THH(B)$. The algebra structure on Hochschild homology is given by the shuffle product.

Proposition. *Let B be a commutative symmetric ring spectrum. (a) The Bökstedt spectral sequence*

$$E_{q,*}^2(B) = HH_q(H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)) \implies H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is an augmented commutative A_ -comodule $H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$ -algebra spectral sequence.*

*(b) If each term $E_{**}^r(B)$ is flat over $H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$, then $E_{**}^*(B)$ is a commutative A_* -comodule $H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$ -Hopf algebra spectral sequence.*

((See Angeltveit–Rognes (2005).))

((There is a similar E -based spectral sequence converging to $E_*(THH(B))$, for each S -algebra E , see [EKMM].))

III.6. First calculations.

We write $P(x) = \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ and $E(x) = P(x)/(x^2 = 0) = \mathbb{F}_p\{1, x\}$ for the polynomial algebra and the exterior algebra on a generator x , respectively. We also write $P_h(x) = P(x)/(x^h = 0)$ for the truncated polynomial algebra of height h . Furthermore we write

$$\Gamma(y) = \mathbb{F}_p\{\gamma_k(y) \mid k \geq 0\}$$

for the divided power algebra on y , with $\gamma_i(y) \cdot \gamma_j(y) = (i, j)\gamma_{i+j}(y)$, where $(i, j) = (i+j)!/(i!j!)$. We identify $\gamma_0(y) = 1$ and $\gamma_1(y) = y$. There is an isomorphism

$$\Gamma(y) = \bigotimes_{e \geq 0} P_p(\gamma_{p^e}(y)).$$

(($\Gamma(y)$ is Hom dual to $P(\eta)$, where y is dual to η .)

To compute the E^2 -term of a Bökstedt spectral sequence, the following standard calculations are useful.

Lemma. (a)

$$HH_*(P(x)) \cong P(x) \otimes E(dx)$$

for $|x|$ even, with $dx \in HH_1(P(x))$ represented by $1 \otimes x$ in the Hochschild complex. The coproduct is given by $\psi(dx) = dx \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes dx$, i.e., dx is $P(x)$ -coalgebra primitive.

(b)

$$HH_*(E(x)) \cong E(x) \otimes \Gamma(dx)$$

for $|x|$ odd, with $\gamma_k(dx) \in HH_k(E(x))$ represented by $1 \otimes x \otimes \cdots \otimes x$ (with k copies of x) in the Hochschild complex. The coproduct is given by

$$\psi(\gamma_k(dx)) = \sum_{i+j=k} \gamma_i(dx) \otimes \gamma_j(dx),$$

i.e., dx is $E(x)$ -coalgebra primitive.

((What about $p = 2$?)

Proof. We can compute $HH_*(P(x))$ as $P(x)$ -bimodule Tor. There is a short free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow P(x) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \{dx\} \otimes P(x) \xrightarrow{\partial} P(x) \otimes P(x) \xrightarrow{\mu} P(x) \rightarrow 0$$

of $P(x)$, viewed as a $P(x)$ -bimodule by the algebra multiplication μ , where $\partial(dx) = 1 \otimes x - x \otimes 1$. It maps to the two-sided bar resolution by taking dx to $1 \otimes x \otimes 1$. Tensoring with $P(x)$ over $P(x) \otimes P(x)^{op}$ we get the complex

$$0 \rightarrow P(x) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \{dx\} \xrightarrow{0} P(x) \rightarrow 0$$

with homology $P(x) \otimes E(dx)$, mapping to the Hochschild complex by taking dx to $1 \otimes x$. The induced map in homology is an isomorphism, and identifies $P(x) \otimes E(dx)$ with $HH_*(P(x))$. ((Discuss product and coproduct.))

((Do $E(x)$ too.)) \square

((Reference to Cartan–Eilenberg. See Proposition 3.3 of Ausoni (2005) for a more complicated case.))

We now study the example $B = H\mathbb{F}_p$, which is a commutative symmetric ring spectrum. Its homology algebra is

$$H_*(H\mathbb{F}_p; \mathbb{F}_p) = A_* = P(\bar{\xi}_k \mid k \geq 1) \otimes E(\bar{\tau}_k \mid k \geq 0).$$

Thus the Bökstedt spectral sequence has E^2 -term

$$E_{**}^2(\mathbb{F}_p) = HH_*(A_*) \cong A_* \otimes E(d\bar{\xi}_k \mid k \geq 1) \otimes \Gamma(d\bar{\tau}_k \mid k \geq 0).$$

It is free, thus flat, over A_* .

The A_* -algebra generators of this E^2 -term are the exterior classes $d\bar{\xi}_k$ in filtration $q = 1$, and the divided powers $\gamma_{p^e}(d\bar{\tau}_k)$ in filtration $q = p^e$, for $e \geq 0$.

The A_* -coalgebra primitives constitute the free A_* -module generated by the classes $d\bar{\xi}_k$ and $d\bar{\tau}_k$, all in filtration $q = 1$. Among these, the A_* -comodule primitives constitute the \mathbb{F}_p -module generated by the same classes.

Suppose that the shortest nonzero differentials in the Bökstedt spectral sequence are of length $r \geq 2$, i.e., are d^r -differentials, so that $E^2(\mathbb{F}_p) = E^r(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The A_* -comodule A_* -Hopf algebra structure on the E^2 -term then remains (unchanged) at the E^r -term, and the d^r -differentials are generated, by this structure, by differentials from A_* -algebra generators to A_* -coalgebra primitives that are also A_* -comodule primitives.

Any such generating differentials must map from a class $\gamma_{p^e}(d\bar{\tau}_k)$ with $e \geq 1$, to a class $d\bar{\xi}_k$ or $d\bar{\tau}_k$, not necessarily with the same index k . The total degrees of these classes are $2p^{k+e}$, $2p^k - 1$ and $2p^k$, respectively, so the only possibilities are the differentials

$$d^r(\gamma_{p^e}(d\bar{\tau}_k)) = d\bar{\xi}_{k+e}$$

where $r = p^e - 1$, for some $e \geq 1$. More precisely, this formula might hold up to a unit in \mathbb{F}_p , which we suppress. In fact, the differential structure is as rich as possible, i.e., for each $k \geq 0$ the differential above for $e = 1$ occurs.

Proposition. (a) *In the Bökstedt spectral sequence for $B = H\mathbb{F}_p$, with*

$$E_{**}^2(\mathbb{F}_p) = A_* \otimes E(d\bar{\xi}_k \mid k \geq 1) \otimes \Gamma(d\bar{\tau}_k \mid k \geq 0)$$

there are nonzero differentials

$$d^{p-1}(\gamma_p(d\bar{\tau}_k)) = d\bar{\xi}_{k+1}$$

for each $k \geq 0$.

(b) *By the A_* -coalgebra structure, these imply differentials*

$$d^{p-1}(\gamma_j(d\bar{\tau}_k)) = d\bar{\xi}_{k+1} \cdot \gamma_{j-p}(d\bar{\tau}_k)$$

for each $j \geq p$, $k \geq 0$, which leave the E^p -term

$$E_{**}^p(\mathbb{F}_p) = A_* \otimes P_p(d\bar{\tau}_k \mid k \geq 0).$$

For filtration reasons, this equals the E^∞ -term.

To establish the differentials in (a), we appeal to the homology operations in $H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p)$ that are derived from its E_∞ -ring spectrum structure. These also allow us to make the following deductions.

Proposition. (a) *There are multiplicative extensions*

$$(d\bar{\tau}_k)^p = d\bar{\tau}_{k+1}$$

in $H_(THH(\mathbb{F}_p); \mathbb{F}_p)$, for all $k \geq 0$, so*

$$H_*(THH(\mathbb{F}_p); \mathbb{F}_p) \cong A_* \otimes P(d\bar{\tau}_0)$$

as a commutative A_ -comodule A_* -Hopf algebra, with $|d\bar{\tau}_0| = 2$.*

(b) *There is an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra isomorphism*

$$\pi_* THH(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong P(\mu_0)$$

where μ_0 , in degree 2, is represented by $d\bar{\tau}_0$.

III.7. Power operations.

A commutative symmetric ring spectrum B has a canonical structure as an E_∞ ring spectrum. In terms of the positive model structure on commutative symmetric ring spectra, this can be seen as follows: A (positively) cofibrant B has the property that the Σ_j -action on the j -th smash power

$$B^{\wedge j} = B \wedge \cdots \wedge B,$$

permuting the smash factors, is free off the base point at each level. Hence the map

$$E\Sigma_{j+} \wedge_{\Sigma_j} B^{\wedge j} \rightarrow B^{\wedge j}/\Sigma_j$$

that collapses $E\Sigma_j$ to a point, is a weak equivalence. The (strictly) commutative product $\mu: B \wedge B \rightarrow B$ induces maps

$$B^{\wedge j}/\Sigma_j \rightarrow B$$

and the composite maps

$$\xi_j: E\Sigma_{j+} \wedge_{\Sigma_j} B^{\wedge j} \rightarrow B$$

for $j \geq 0$ provide the structure maps for an E_∞ ring spectrum structure on B , for the Barratt–Eccles operad \mathcal{E} with j -th space $\mathcal{E}(j) = E\Sigma_j$. The point of replacing $B^{\wedge j}/\Sigma_j$ with the extended power

$$D_j(B) = E\Sigma_{j+} \wedge_{\Sigma_j} B^{\wedge j}$$

is that the homology of the latter can be readily computed. We focus on the case $j = p$, where $D_p(B)$ extends the p -fold smash power $B^{\wedge p}$. By a transfer argument, there is a split surjection

$$H_*(EC_{p+} \wedge_{C_p} B^{\wedge p}; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_*(E\Sigma_{p+} \wedge_{\Sigma_p} B^{\wedge p}; \mathbb{F}_p),$$

where $C_p \subset \Sigma_p$ is the cyclic subgroup generated by $T = (12 \dots p)$. As a model for EC_p we can take $S^\infty = S(\mathbb{C}^\infty)$, with its usual C_p -CW structure. The associated mod p cellular complex is $W_* = C_*(EC_p; \mathbb{F}_p)$, with $W_i = \mathbb{F}_p[C_p]\{e_i\}$ for each $i \geq 0$, $d(e_i) = (1 - T)e_{i-1}$ for i odd and $d(e_i) = (1 + T + \cdots + T^{p-1})e_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 2$ even.

There is then an isomorphism

$$H_*(EC_{p+} \wedge_{C_p} B^{\wedge p}; \mathbb{F}_p) \cong H_*(W_* \otimes_{C_p} H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)^{\otimes p})$$

and these homology groups are generated by the cycles $e_i \otimes x^{\otimes p}$ for $i \geq 0$ (and $e_0 \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_p$), where x (and the x_1, \dots, x_p) ranges through a basis for $H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$. It follows that

$$H_*(D_p(B); \mathbb{F}_p) = H_*(E\Sigma_{p+} \wedge_{\Sigma_p} B^{\wedge p}; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is generated by the cycles $e_i \otimes x^{\otimes p}$ for $i \equiv -1, 0 \pmod{2p-2}$ and $|x|$ even, and for $i \equiv p-2, p-1 \pmod{2p-2}$ and $|x|$ odd (and $e_0 \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_p$). We define $Q_i(x)$ in $H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$ to be the image under

$$(\xi_p)_*: H_*(D_p(B); \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

of the class of $e_i \otimes x^{\otimes p}$, for these i and x . We can write the degree of $Q_i(x)$ as

$$i + p|x| = |x| + r(2p - 2) - \epsilon$$

for unique $r \geq 0$ and $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, and it is traditional to rewrite Q_i in terms of upper indices as follows:

$$\beta^\epsilon Q^r(x) = Q_i(x) = (\xi_p)_*(e_i \otimes x^{\otimes p}).$$

Here $\beta = \beta^1 Q^0$ is the mod p homology Bockstein operation, of degree (-1) , and $Q^r = \beta^0 Q^r$ has degree $r(2p - 2)$.

These extended power homology operations

$$\beta^\epsilon Q^r : H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

(for commutative symmetric ring spectra B) are known as Dyer–Lashof operations. Dyer and Lashof first described these operations for $p = 2$, while the odd-primary case is due to Araki and Kudo. See Steinberger (1986) for a list of the formal properties of these operations.

For $|x| = 2r$ even (with $\epsilon = 0$ and $i = 0$), the operation $Q^r(x) = Q_0(x)$ is the image under $(\xi_p)_*$ of the class of $e_0 \otimes x^{\otimes p}$. Since ξ_p extends the usual p -fold product $B^{\wedge p} \rightarrow B$, it follows that in this case

$$Q^r(x) = x^p$$

in the algebra structure on $H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$. The other Dyer–Lashof operations are of higher degree.

In the special case of $B = H\mathbb{F}_p$, the operations

$$\beta^\epsilon Q^r : A_* \rightarrow A_*$$

were first described by Leif Kristensen, see Steinberger’s paper for a published reference. We need the following facts:

$$Q^{p^k}(\bar{\xi}_k) = \bar{\xi}_{k+1}$$

for $k \geq 1$,

$$Q^{p^k}(\bar{\tau}_k) = \bar{\tau}_{k+1}$$

for $k \geq 0$, and

$$\beta(\bar{\tau}_k) = \bar{\xi}_k$$

for $k \geq 0$, where $\bar{\xi}_0 = 1$, as usual. These formulas are all forced by the formal properties of the Dyer–Lashof operations, such as the Nishida relations.

In the special case $B = DX_+ = F(X_+, S)$, for a finite CW complex X , the Dyer–Lashof operations on $H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p)$ are compatible with the Steenrod operations on $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ under the isomorphisms

$$H_*(B; \mathbb{F}_p) = \pi_*(H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge F(X_+, S)) \cong \pi_*F(X, H\mathbb{F}_p) = H^{-*}(X; \mathbb{F}_p).$$

More precisely, $\beta^\epsilon Q^r$ corresponds to $\beta^\epsilon P^{-r}$.

The maps

$$THH(B) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} F(S_+^1, THH(B)) \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\nu} DS_+^1 \wedge THH(B)$$

are maps of commutative symmetric ring spectra, hence the induced homomorphism

$$\nu^{-1}\tilde{\alpha}: H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow E(\iota_1) \otimes H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p)$$

in homology commutes with the Dyer–Lashof operations. In view of the formula $(\nu^{-1}\tilde{\alpha})(x) = 1 \otimes x + \iota_1 \otimes dx$, this implies the relation

$$\beta^\epsilon Q^r(dx) = d(\beta^\epsilon Q^r x)$$

for all $r \geq 0$, $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$, $x \in H_*(THH(B); \mathbb{F}_p)$. The argument uses the Cartan formula for the Dyer–Lashof operations in the homology of $DS_+^1 \wedge THH(B)$, and the relation with Steenrod operations mentioned above for $X = S_+^1$.

As a corollary, we can deduce the multiplicative relation

$$(d\bar{\tau}_k)^p = Q^{p^k}(d\bar{\tau}_k) = dQ^{p^k}(\bar{\tau}_k) = d\bar{\tau}_{k+1}$$

for each $k \geq 0$.

III.8 Differentials.

Following Ausoni (2005), we show that there are differentials

$$d^{p-1}(\gamma_p(d\bar{\tau}_k)) = d\bar{\xi}_{k+1}$$

for $k \geq 0$, in the Bökstedt spectral sequence for $H_*(THH(\mathbb{F}_p); \mathbb{F}_p)$. In the abutment, we can compute that

$$d\bar{\xi}_{k+1} = d(\beta\bar{\tau}_{k+1}) = \beta(d\bar{\tau}_{k+1}) = \beta((d\bar{\tau}_k)^p) = 0$$

since β acts as a derivation, and we are working in characteristic p . Hence $d\bar{\xi}_{k+1}$ must be hit by a differential.

In the lowest case, $k = 0$, the only possible source of this differential is $\gamma_p(d\bar{\tau}_0)$. It follows from the coalgebra structure that there are also differentials

$$d^{p-1}(\gamma_j(d\bar{\tau}_0)) = d\bar{\xi}_1 \cdot \gamma_{j-p}(d\bar{\tau}_0)$$

for all $j \geq p$. The homology of this differential acting on $E(d\bar{\xi}_1) \otimes \Gamma(d\bar{\tau}_0)$ is thus $P_p(d\bar{\tau}_0)$.

Turning to the next case, $k = 1$, only possible sources of differentials hitting $d\bar{\xi}_2$ were $\gamma_p(d\bar{\tau}_1)$ and $\gamma_{p^2}(d\bar{\tau}_0)$, but we have just seen that the latter class already supported a d^{p-1} -differential. Hence there is a differential $d^{p-1}(\gamma_p(d\bar{\tau}_1)) = d\bar{\xi}_2$, and the coalgebra structure implies that there are also differentials $d^{p-1}(\gamma_j(d\bar{\tau}_1)) = d\bar{\xi}_2 \cdot \gamma_{j-p}(d\bar{\tau}_1)$ for all $j \geq p$. The homology of this differential acting on $E(d\bar{\xi}_2) \otimes \Gamma(d\bar{\tau}_1)$ is $P_p(d\bar{\tau}_1)$.

By induction on k it follows that we have precisely the d^{p-1} -differentials listed above, killing the classes $d\bar{\xi}_{k+1}$, together with their coalgebraic consequences

$$d^{p-1}(\gamma_j(d\bar{\tau}_k)) = d\bar{\xi}_{k+1} \cdot \gamma_{j-p}(d\bar{\tau}_k)$$

for all $k \geq 0$ and $j \geq p$. By the Künneth formula, we are left with the E^p -term

$$E_{**}^p(\mathbb{F}_p) = A_* \otimes P_p(d\bar{\tau}_k \mid k \geq 1).$$

Here all the algebra generators are in Hochschild filtration 1, so there is no room for further differentials, and this also equals the E^∞ -term.

In view of the multiplicative relations $(d\bar{\tau}_k)^p = d\bar{\tau}_{k+1}$ in $H_*(THH(\mathbb{F}_p); \mathbb{F}_p)$, we deduce that

$$H_*(THH(\mathbb{F}_p); \mathbb{F}_p) = A_* \otimes P(d\bar{\tau}_0)$$

as a (bi-)commutative A_* -comodule A_* -Hopf algebra.

The class $d\bar{\tau}_0$ is A_* -comodule primitive, since the coaction can be calculated as

$$\nu(d\bar{\tau}_0) = (1 \otimes d)(\psi(\bar{\tau}_0)) = 1 \otimes d\bar{\tau}_0 + \bar{\tau}_0 \otimes d1 = 1 \otimes d\bar{\tau}_0.$$

Hence the Adams spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{A_*}^{s,t}(\mathbb{F}_p, H_*(THH(\mathbb{F}_p); \mathbb{F}_p)) \implies \pi_{t-s} THH(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

collapses to the $s = 0$ -line, where $\pi_* THH(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is identified with the subalgebra $P(d\bar{\tau}_0)$ of A_* -comodule primitives in $H_*(THH(\mathbb{F}_p); \mathbb{F}_p)$. We let

$$\mu_0 = d\bar{\tau}_0 \in \pi_2 THH(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

be the generating homotopy class, and conclude that

$$\pi_* THH(\mathbb{F}_p) = P(\mu_0).$$

Heuristically, we might think of $H\mathbb{F}_p$ as an S -algebra built from S by attaching a 1-cell labeled $\bar{\tau}_0$ by a degree p map, together with higher cells. The Kähler differentials of $H\mathbb{F}_p$ over S then receive a contribution called $d\bar{\tau}_0$, in bidegree $(1, 1)$. Going from the symmetric algebra on the Kähler differentials to THH , the calculation above shows that this class freely generates all of $THH(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

IV. THE ku -ALGEBRA STRUCTURES ON ku/p (OCTOBER 11TH)

((Discuss the Lazarev obstruction theory classifying A_∞ ku -ring spectrum structures on ku/p , working up its Postnikov tower. The extensions of the $(2m - 2)$ -th Postnikov section $P = P^{2m-2}ku/p$ to the $(2m)$ -th section are classified by the A_∞ ku -algebra derivations

$$\mathcal{A}\text{Der}_{ku}(P, \Sigma^{2m+1}H\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong THH_{ku}^{2m+2}(P, H\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\{y_0^{m+1}, y_{1,m}\}$$

that map to the underlying ku -module extension in

$$H_{ku}^{2m+1}(P, H\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\{Q_{1,m}\}.$$

Here $y_{1,m} \mapsto Q_{1,m}$, so there are p choices of ku -algebra extensions for each Postnikov stage.)

V. THOM SPECTRA (OCTOBER 16TH)

We focus on the real case. Complex Thom spectra can be handled in much the same way, taking $MU_n = F(S^n, MU(n))$ as the n -th space.

V.1. The Thom spectrum MO .

Let $EO(n)$ be the simplicial space with q -simplices $\text{Map}([q], O(n)) \cong O(n)^{q+1}$. The group $O(n)$ acts freely on the contractible space $EO(n)$, both from the left and from the right, and these actions commute. Let $BO(n) = EO(n)/O(n)$ be the orbit space for the right action. The remaining left $O(n)$ -action on $BO(n)$ is given by the adjoint (= conjugation) action of $O(n)$ on $O(n)$, together with functoriality of the bar construction. We will write $BO(n)^{ad}$ for this left $O(n)$ -space.

The Thom spectrum MO is the orthogonal spectrum

$$n \mapsto MO_n = MO(n) = EO(n)_+ \wedge_{O(n)} S^n$$

with left $O(n)$ -action induced from the left $O(n)$ -action on $EO(n)$. The n -th structure map $\sigma: S^1 \wedge MO_n \rightarrow MO_{1+n}$ equals the composite

$$S^1 \wedge EO(n)_+ \wedge_{O(n)} S^n \cong EO(n)_+ \wedge_{O(n)} (S^1 \wedge S^n) \rightarrow EO(1+n)_+ \wedge_{O(1+n)} S^{1+n}.$$

The underlying symmetric spectrum is the same sequence of based spaces, with left Σ_n -action given by restricting the $O(n)$ -action along the inclusion $\Sigma_n \subset O(n)$. There are product maps

$$\begin{aligned} (EO(m)_+ \wedge_{O(m)} S^m) \wedge (EO(n)_+ \wedge_{O(n)} S^n) \\ \cong E(O(m) \times O(n))_+ \wedge_{O(m) \times O(n)} (S^m \wedge S^n) \\ \rightarrow EO(m+n)_+ \wedge_{O(m+n)} S^{m+n} \end{aligned}$$

that make MO a commutative orthogonal ring spectrum.

V.2. The suspension spectrum of BO .

Let $S[BO]^{ad}$ be the orthogonal spectrum

$$n \mapsto S^n \wedge BO(n)^{ad}$$

with $O(n)$ acting diagonally on $S(n)$ and $BO(n)^{ad}$. There are obvious structure maps

$$\sigma: S^1 \wedge S^n \wedge BO(n)^{ad} \rightarrow S^{1+n} \wedge BO(1+n)^{ad}.$$

There are product maps

$$\begin{aligned} (S^m \wedge BO(m)^{ad}) \wedge (S^n \wedge BO(n)^{ad}) \\ \cong S^m \wedge S^n \wedge B(O(m) \times O(n))^{ad} \\ \rightarrow S^{m+n} \wedge BO(m+n)^{ad} \end{aligned}$$

that make $S[BO]^{ad}$ a commutative orthogonal ring spectrum.

Let $BO = \text{colim}_n BO(n)$, and let $\Sigma^\infty BO_+$ be the unreduced orthogonal suspension spectrum of BO , with n -th space $S^n \wedge BO_+$. Here $O(n)$ acts only on the S^n -factor, fixing BO .

The underlying sequential spectrum of $S[BO]^{ad}$ maps to the underlying sequential spectrum of $\Sigma^\infty BO_+$, via the inclusion

$$S^n \wedge BO(n)_+ \rightarrow S^n \wedge BO_+$$

at level n . The induced map of homotopy groups

$$\operatorname{colim}_n \pi_{k+n}(S^n \wedge BO(n)_+) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_n \pi_{k+n}(S^n \wedge BO_+)$$

is an isomorphism for each integer k , so these sequential spectra are stably equivalent. But the homotopy category of orthogonal spectra is equivalent to the homotopy category of sequential spectra, so it follows that also the orthogonal spectra $S[BO]^{ad}$ and $\Sigma^\infty BO_+$ are stably equivalent.

Note that the orthogonal ring spectrum structure on $S[BO]^{ad}$ is commutative and easy to describe, while that on $\Sigma^\infty BO_+$ is only an E_∞ ring spectrum structure.

V.3. The Thom diagonal.

The Thom diagonal map

$$\theta_n: MO(n) \rightarrow MO(n) \wedge BO(n)_+^{ad}$$

extends the proper map

$$(id, \pi): E(\gamma^n) \rightarrow E(\gamma^n) \times BO(n)_+^{ad}$$

over $E(\gamma^n) = EO(n) \times_{O(n)} \mathbb{R}^n \subset MO(n)$. It is $O(n)$ -equivariant for the diagonal action in the target, when $O(n)$ acts on $BO(n)$ by the left adjoint action, as indicated.

We continue the Thom map with the usual inclusions

$$MO(n) \wedge BO(n)_+^{ad} \rightarrow F(S^n, MO(n) \wedge S^n \wedge BO(n)_+^{ad}) \rightarrow F(S^n, (MO \wedge S[BO]^{ad})_{2n})$$

to get a map of orthogonal ring spectra

$$\theta: MO \rightarrow \{n \mapsto F(S^n, (MO \wedge S[BO]^{ad})_{2n})\}.$$

For any orthogonal spectrum X there is a map of orthogonal spectra

$$\tilde{\sigma}: X = \{n \mapsto X_n\} \rightarrow \{n \mapsto F(S^n, X_{2n})\}$$

that at the n -th level is adjoint to the iterated structure map

$$\sigma^n: S^n \wedge X_n \rightarrow X_{n+n} = X_{2n}.$$

The latter is $O(n)$ -equivariant via the diagonal embedding $O(n) \rightarrow O(n) \times O(n) \subset O(2n)$, so the adjoint map $\tilde{\sigma}^n: X_n \rightarrow F(S^n, X_{2n})$ is also $O(n)$ -equivariant. When X is an orthogonal ring spectrum, the product maps

$$F(S^m, X_{2m}) \wedge F(S^n, X_{2n}) \rightarrow F(S^m \wedge S^n, X_{2m} \wedge X_{2n}) \rightarrow F(S^{m+n}, X_{2(m+n)})$$

make $\{n \mapsto F(S^n, X_{2n})\}$ an orthogonal ring spectrum, too. ((Check!)) The map $\tilde{\sigma}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_n \pi_{k+n}(X_n) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_n \pi_{k+n}(F(S^n, X_{2n})) \cong \operatorname{colim}_n \pi_{k+2n}(X_{2n})$$

of homotopy groups for each integer k , hence is a stable equivalence.

There results a chain of maps of commutative orthogonal ring spectra

$$MO \xrightarrow{\beta} \{n \mapsto F(S^n, (MO \wedge S[BO]^{ad})_{2n})\} \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\tilde{\sigma}} MO \wedge S[BO]^{ad},$$

and a stable equivalence of orthogonal (E_∞ ring-)spectra

$$MO \wedge S[BO]^{ad} \simeq MO \wedge \Sigma^\infty BO_+ \cong MO \wedge BO_+.$$

V.4. A Hopf–Galois structure.

We wish to view β , or $\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}\beta$, as the coaction in a Hopf–Galois extension $S \rightarrow MO$, with Hopf algebra $H = S[BO]^{ad}$.

((Is there a good coproduct $\psi: H \rightarrow H \wedge H$, lifting the diagonal map $\Sigma^\infty BO_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty (BO \times BO)_+ \cong \Sigma^\infty BO_+ \wedge \Sigma^\infty BO_+$?)

((ETC))

VI. THH OF THE INTEGERS

((Consider the case $B = H\mathbb{Z}$, with $H_*(H\mathbb{Z}) = P(\bar{\xi}_k \mid k \geq 1) \otimes E(\bar{\tau}_k \mid k \geq 1) \subset A_*$. The Bökstedt E^2 -term equals $HH_*(H_*(H\mathbb{Z})) = H_*(H\mathbb{Z}) \otimes E(d\bar{\xi}_k \mid k \geq 1) \otimes \Gamma(d\bar{\tau}_k \mid k \geq 1)$. The differentials $d^{p-1}(\gamma_p(d\bar{\tau}_k)) = d\bar{\xi}_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 1$, leave $E_{**}^\infty(\mathbb{Z}) = H_*(H\mathbb{Z}) \otimes E(d\bar{\xi}_1) \otimes P_p(d\bar{\tau}_k \mid k \geq 1)$ and $H_*(THH(\mathbb{Z})) = H_*(H\mathbb{Z}) \otimes E(d\bar{\xi}_1) \otimes P(d\bar{\tau}_1)$. We find generating A_* -comodule primitives

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &= 1 \wedge d\bar{\xi}_1 \\ \mu_1 &= 1 \wedge d\bar{\tau}_1 + \tau_0 \wedge d\bar{\xi}_1\end{aligned}$$

in $H_*(V(0) \wedge THH(\mathbb{Z}))$, where $H_*(V(0)) = E(\tau_0)$, so

$$V(0)_*THH(\mathbb{Z}) = E(\lambda_1) \otimes P(\mu_1).$$

A Bockstein spectral sequence argument implies

$$\pi_*THH(\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } * = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}/n & \text{for } * = 2n - 1 > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

))

VII. THH FOR LOCAL FIELDS

VII.1. Kähler forms.

((Define derivations, differentials, relation to HH_1 , higher forms, map to HH_* , étale, polynomial and smooth examples, HKR-theorem.))

VII.2. Rings of integers in local fields.

We follow Hesselholt–Madsen:

Let A be a complete DVR (discrete valuation ring) with perfect residue field k of characteristic p , and fraction field K of characteristic 0. For example, K can be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and A its valuation ring. In that case k is a finite field.

Let $\pi = \pi_K$ be a uniformizer, so $v(\pi) = 1$ where $v = v_K$ is the normalized valuation, $\pi A = (\pi) \subset A$ is the maximal ideal, $k = A/(\pi)$ and $K = A[\pi^{-1}]$:

$$k \xleftarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{j} K.$$

Let $W = W(k)$ be the Witt ring of k , with uniformizer p . We can write

$$A = W[x]/(\phi(x)),$$

where the irreducible polynomial of π over W is an Eisenstein polynomial

$$\phi(x) = x^e - p\theta(x)$$

in $W[x]$, where $\theta(x)$ is of degree $< e$ and $\theta(0)$ is a unit in W . It follows that $\theta(\pi)$ is also a unit. Hence $\pi^e = p\theta(\pi)$ and $p = \pi^e\theta(\pi)^{-1}$ in A . The formal derivative is $\phi'(x) = ex^{e-1} - p\theta'(x)$, so

$$\phi'(\pi) = e\pi^{e-1} - p\theta'(\pi)$$

and

$$\Omega_{A/W}^1 \cong A/(\phi'(\pi))\{d\pi\}.$$

If $p \nmid e$, we say that A is tamely ramified over W . Then $v(\phi'(\pi)) = v(\pi^{e-1}) = e - 1$, $(p) \subsetneq (\phi'(\pi))$, and $\Omega_{A/W}^1 \cong k\{1, \pi, \dots, \pi^{e-2}\}$.

Example 1: $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, $A = W = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $\pi = p$, $e = 1$, $\phi(x) = x - p$, $\theta(x) = 1$, $\phi'(\pi) = 1$.

Example 2: $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$, $A = \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_p]$, $\pi = 1 - \zeta_p$, $e = p - 1$, $\phi(x) = x^{p-1} - px^{p-2} + \dots + (-1)^{p-1}p$, $\theta(x) = x^{p-2} + \dots + (-1)^{-p}$, $\phi'(\pi) = (p-1)\pi^{p-2} - p\theta'(\pi)$, $\Omega_{A/W}^1 \cong \mathbb{F}_p^{p-1}$.

If $p \mid e$ we say that A is wildly ramified over W . Then $v(\phi'(\pi)) \geq v(p) = e$ and $(\phi'(\pi)) \subseteq (p)$.

Example 3: $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt[p]{p})$, $A = \mathbb{Z}_p[\sqrt[p]{p}]$, $\pi = \sqrt[p]{p}$, $e = p$, $\phi(x) = x^p - p$, $\theta(x) = 1$, $\phi'(\pi) = p\pi^{p-1} = \pi^{2p-1}$.

Following Lindenstrauss–Madsen:

$HH_*(\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a p -adic equivalence, so $HH_*(W) \rightarrow HH_*^{\mathbb{Z}_p}(W) \cong W$ is a p -adic equivalence, the Witt ring W is unramified over \mathbb{Z}_p . Similarly, $HH_*(A) \rightarrow HH_*^W(A)$ is a p -adic equivalence.

From $A = W[x]/(\phi(x))$ we get a 2-periodic resolution of A over $A^e = A \otimes_W A$,

$$A \xleftarrow{\mu} A^e \xleftarrow{1 \otimes x - x \otimes 1} A^e \xleftarrow{\frac{1 \otimes \phi(x) - \phi(x) \otimes 1}{1 \otimes x - x \otimes 1}} A^e \xleftarrow{\dots}$$

and calculate

$$HH_*^W(A) = \begin{cases} A & \text{for } * = 0, \\ A/(\phi'(\pi)) & \text{for } * = 2n - 1 > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus this is also $HH_*(A)$, up to p -adic equivalence.

If $p \mid e$, then

$$V(0)_*HH(A) \cong A/p \otimes E(d\pi) \otimes \Gamma(\mu_A).$$

Here $\mu_A \in V(0)_2HH(A)$ has Bockstein image a generator of the p -torsion in Ω_A^1 , say $(\phi'(\pi)/p)d\pi$. Note that $(\Omega_A^*)/p \cong A/p \otimes E(d\pi)$.

In view of the pushout square of commutative S -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} THH(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ THH(A) & \longrightarrow & HH(A) \end{array}$$

with $V(0)_*THH(\mathbb{Z}) = E(\lambda_1) \otimes P(\mu_1)$, this fits well with the Lindenstrauss–Madsen calculation

$$V(0)_*THH(A) \cong A/p \otimes E(d\pi) \otimes P(\mu_A).$$

Note that $(\Omega_A^*)/p \cong A/p \otimes E(d\pi)$. ((Does μ_1 map to μ_A^p ? Does λ_1 suspend to $\gamma_p(\mu_A)$? Can one extract $THH(A)$ from the other three terms in the square above?))

For $p \nmid e$ the answers are more complicated.

Get a weak equivalence

$$B \wedge_A THH(A) \rightarrow THH(B)$$

for $B \subset L$ like $A \subset K$, when $K \rightarrow L$ is an unramified (thus étale) extension, but not more generally.

((What about $HH_*(k)$ (in the derived sense, over the ground ring \mathbb{Z}) and $HH_*(A|K)$?)

VII.3. Log poles.

Quillen’s K -theory localization theorem gives a cofiber sequence

$$K(k) \xrightarrow{i_*} K(A) \xrightarrow{j^*} K(K).$$

In homotopy, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A^\times \xrightarrow{j^*} K^\times \xrightarrow{v} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

where $v: \pi \mapsto 1$.

By analogy, Hesselholt and Madsen define the target $THH(A|K)$ for a trace map from $K(K)$ to sit in a cofiber sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} THH(k) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & THH(A) & \xrightarrow{j} & THH(A|K) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \Sigma THH(k) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & THH(K) & & \end{array}$$

j^* (arrow from $THH(A)$ to $THH(K)$)

In homotopy we get an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_A^1 \xrightarrow{j} \Omega_{(A|K)}^1 \xrightarrow{res} k \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\Omega_{(A|K)/W}^1 \cong A/(\pi\phi'(\pi))\{\text{dlog } \pi\},$$

$j: d\pi \mapsto \pi \text{dlog } \pi$ and $res: \text{dlog } \pi \mapsto 1$.

Now

$$\pi\phi'(\pi) = e\pi^e - p\pi\theta'(\pi) = \pi^e(e - \pi\theta'(\pi)\theta(\pi)^{-1})$$

so $(\pi\phi'(\pi)) \subseteq (\pi^e) = (p)$ for all values of e . Furthermore,

$$\text{dlog } p = \text{dlog}(\pi^e\theta(\pi)^{-1}) = (e - \pi\theta'(\pi)\theta(\pi)^{-1}) \text{dlog } \pi,$$

so $d \log p$ generates the p -torsion in $\Omega_{(A|K)}^1$. (The term $\pi \theta'(\pi) \theta(\pi)^{-1}$ is known as the elasticity of $\theta(\pi)$.)

Thus $V(0)_1 THH(A|K) \cong A/p\{d \log \pi\}$, while $V(0)_2 THH(A|K) \cong A/p\{\kappa_0\}$, where the Bockstein image of κ_0 equals $d \log p$.

Hesselholt–Madsen prove

$$V(0)_* THH(A|K) \cong A/p \otimes E(d \log \pi) \otimes P(\kappa_0).$$

Note that $(\Omega_{(A|K)}^*)/p \cong A/p \otimes E(d \log \pi)$, while $V(0)_* THH(\mathbb{Z}_p|\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong P(\kappa_0)$. This formula turns out to hold for all e , divisible by p or not.

One gets a weak equivalence

$$B \wedge_A THH(A|K) \rightarrow THH(B|L)$$

for $B \subset L$ like $A \subset K$, when $K \rightarrow L$ is a tamely ramified extension, but not more generally.

((Check whether $THH(A|K) \rightarrow THH(B|L)^{hG}$ is a weak equivalence for a faithful G -Galois extension $K \rightarrow L$, wildly ramified or not.))

((See how κ_0 comes from $V(0)_2 THH(\mathbb{Z}_p|\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Make the homotopy exact sequence for $THH(k)$, $THH(A)$, $THH(A|K)$ clear.))

((Also consider the case of

$$k \leftarrow A = k[x] \rightarrow K = k[x, x^{-1}]$$

with $\Omega_A^1 = A\{dx\}$, $\Omega_{(A|K)}^1 = A\{d \log x\}$ and $\Omega_K^1 = K\{dx\}$.)

VII.4. The trace map.

The trace map $tr: K(A) \rightarrow THH(A) \rightarrow HH(A)$ extends the map $BGL_1(A) \rightarrow THH(A) \rightarrow HH(A)$ that takes $[a]$ in the bar complex, representing the symbol $\{a\}$ in $K_1(A)$, to $a^{-1} \otimes a$ in the Hochschild complex. This equals the Kähler form $a^{-1} da$, so

$$tr: K_1(A) \rightarrow \Omega_A^1 = THH_1(A) = HH_1(A)$$

satisfies $tr(\{a\}) = a^{-1} da$, for $a \in A^\times$.

Let $U_A^1 \subset A^\times$ be the kernel of $A^\times \rightarrow k^\times$. The exponential map $\exp(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n/n!$ defines a group isomorphism $\exp: \pi A \rightarrow U_A^1$ (for p odd), and the composite

$$\pi A \xrightarrow[\cong]{\exp} U_A^1 \subset A^\times \rightarrow K_1(A) \xrightarrow{tr} \Omega_A^1$$

equals the composite

$$\pi A \subset A \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_A^1,$$

because $\exp(\pi x)^{-1} d \exp(\pi x) = d(\pi x)$.

The extended trace map $tr: K(K) \rightarrow THH(A|K)$ takes $\{\pi\}$ to $d \log \pi$. ((Justify, almost by definition.))

We have $U_A^1/(U_A^1)^p \cong A^\times/A^{\times p}$, since k is perfect, and $A^\times/A^{\times p} \cong V(0)_1 K(A)$, since A is local(?), so there is an isomorphism $A/p \cong V(0)_1 K(A)$ given by $x \mapsto \{\exp(\pi x)\}$.

This provides a complete calculation of

$$tr: A/p \cong V(0)_1 K(A) \rightarrow (\Omega_A^1)/p$$

with $tr(\{\exp(\pi x)\}) = d(\pi x)$, and the extension

$$tr: V(0)_1 K(K) \rightarrow (\Omega_{(A|K)}^1)/p \cong A/p\{d \log \pi\}$$

with $tr(\{\pi\}) = d \log \pi$. Note that the map $A/p \cong V(0)_1 K(A) \rightarrow (\Omega_A^1)/p$, given by $x \mapsto d(\pi x)$, is not A -linear, but is a degree ≤ 1 differential operator.

VIII. TOPOLOGICAL ANDRÉ–QUILLEN (CO-)HOMOLOGY (NOVEMBER 22ND)

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a map of commutative S -algebras, X a based space. Each square in

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & X \otimes_A B \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B & \longrightarrow & B \wedge_A B & \longrightarrow & X \otimes_B (B \wedge_A B) \\
 & & \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \\
 & & B & \longrightarrow & X \tilde{\otimes}_B (B \wedge_A B)
 \end{array}$$

is a pushout in commutative A -algebras. The composite in the middle column is the identity, so the composite in the right hand column is an equivalence

$$X \otimes_A B \simeq X \tilde{\otimes}_B (B \wedge_A B).$$

Thus the suspension spectrum of $B \wedge_A B$ in the category \mathcal{C}_B/B of augmented commutative B -algebras

$$E_B^\infty(B \wedge_A B) = \{n \mapsto S^n \tilde{\otimes}_B (B \wedge_A B)\},$$

is equivalent to the sequential spectrum

$$\{n \mapsto S^n \otimes_A B\}.$$

Here $S^1 \otimes_A B = THH^A(B)$, and we think of $S^n \otimes_A B$ as the n -th higher A -based THH of B .

To compute, we use

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{S^n \otimes_A B}(B, B) = S^{n+1} \otimes_A B$$

to get a spectral sequence

$$E_{**}^2 = \mathrm{Tor}_{**}^{\pi_*(S^n \otimes_A B)}(\pi_*(B), \pi_*(B)) \implies \pi_*(S^{n+1} \otimes_A B)$$

for $n \geq 0$. Passing to augmentation ideals and their underlying B -modules,

$$TAQ^A(B) \simeq \{n \mapsto I_B(S^n \otimes_A B)\}$$

as B -module (bi-)spectra.